User's Guide for Biome-BGCMuSo 6.1

by Dóra HIDY[1], Zoltán BARCZA[2,3,4], Roland HOLLÓS[1], Peter E. THORNTON[5], Steven W. RUNNING[6] and Nándor FODOR[7]

[1] Excellence Center, Faculty of Science, Eötvös Loránd University, H-2462 Martonvásár, Brunszvik u. 2., Hungary
[2] Department of Meteorology, Eötvös Loránd University, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. s. 1/A, Hungary.
[3] Excellence Center, Faculty of Science, Eötvös Loránd University, H-2462 Martonvásár, Brunszvik u. 2., Hungary
[4] Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Prague 6, Czech Republic
[5] Climate Change Science Institute and Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA.
[6] Numerical Terradynamic Simulation Group, Department of Ecosystem and Conservation Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA.
[7] Centre for Agricultural Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-2462 Martonvásár, Brunszvik u. 2., Hungary

[January, 2021]

Contents

1. Introduction

Biome-BGC is a widely used, popular biogeochemical model that simulates the storage and flux of water, carbon, and nitrogen between the ecosystem and the atmosphere, and within the components of the terrestrial ecosystems (Thornton, 2000). Biome-BGC was developed by the Numerical Terradynamic Simulation Group (NTSG), University of Montana (http://www.ntsg.umt.edu/project/biome-bgc). The currently available, official model version (published by NTSG) is 4.2.

Several researchers used and modified the original Biome-BGC model in the past. During the past years our research group developed an updated version of Biome-BGC (called **Biome-BGCMuSo** – where the abbreviation refers to **Mu**ltilayer **So**il Module – or briefly BBGCMuSo) to improve the ability of the model to simulate carbon and water cycle in managed ecosystems, with options for managed croplands, grasslands and forests. The modifications included structural improvements of the model (e.g., the simple, outdated, one-layer soil module was replaced by a multilayer soil module; drought related plant senescence was implemented; model phenology was improved) and also management modules were developed (e.g. to simulate mowing, grazing, fertilization, ploughing, sowing, harvesting, forest thinning and clearcut). Beyond these modifications, additional modules were developed to simulate cropland management (e.g., planting, harvest, ploughing, and application of fertilizers). Forest thinning was also implemented as a possible human intervention, and dynamic (annually varying) whole plant mortality was implemented in the model to enable more realistic simulation of forest stand development. Annually varying management options were also introduced. In Biome-BGCMuSo v4 model version separate pools have been defined for fruit following the method of Ma et al. (2011) to support cropland related simulations. The modifications were published detail in Hidy et al. (2012) and Hidy et al. (2016).

Since the publication of the Hidy et al. (2016) paper a large number of additional developments were implemented. In Biome-BGCMuSo v5.0 alternative photosynthesis and radiation calculation methods were implemented in order to support possible algorithm ensemble modeling within the framework of the model. Optional dynamic allocation algorithm was introduced using predefined phenological phases based on growing degree day method. We also implemented optional temperature dependence of allocation and possible assimilation downregulation as function of temperature. To simulate the functioning of croplands in a more realistic way, germination, photoperiodic effect, genetically programmed leaf senescence and vernalization processes were introduced. We also improved the plant senescence calculation and nitrogen budget simulation of the model. Soil organic matter profile was introduced. The maintenance respiration calculation was modified correcting one problematic issue related to the non-structural carbohydrate calculation method of the model. In recent model version crop rotation possibility was implemented to improve the simulation capability of agroecosystems. Using the crop rotation implementation now it is possible to define different plant types even within the same year. Plant type change is implemented with the usage of multiple *ecophysiological constants* (EPC) files. Conditional management (irrigation and mowing) was introduced in order to analyze the effect of different management strategies under climate change. Conditional mowing means that grass-cutting happens if the leaf area index reaches a predefined critical value. Conditional irrigation can be set such that irrigation happens if the soil moisture content reaches a critical value. Calculation of soil moisture stress index was corrected and simplified. We improved the simulation of harvest and fertilization as well. The input file structure has been significantly modified: the INI file

contains the initialization information of the simulation, but meteorological, ecophysiological, soil properties and management data are handled separately (in previous versions prior to 6.0 soil properties and management data were all part of the INI file). New input (measured drain coefficient, measured hydraulic coefficient, ratio of dry matter and carbon content) and new output parameter (cumulated evaporation and transpiration) variables were introduced in the new model versions.

A **new and highly useful feature of Biome-BGCMuSo** is that the model now uses the time stamp data in the input files (daily meteorology input, annual carbon-dioxide concentration, annual nitrogen deposition, management) and extracts data according to the time settings in the INI file. (Previous model versions neglected the year information in these files and the model simply used the text files sequentially: it used information from the beginning of the files regardless of the time information within these files! See also Section 3.3 of this document.) This feature simplifies the construction of the input meteorology and ancillary files. Given the importance of this new development, we demonstrate this feature with an example. Assume that the User would like to run the model for the 2000-2017 time period (normal run), using spinup meteorology for the 1901-2017 time period. In previous model version this would imply the construction of *two separate* meteorology files – one that covers the 1901-2017 time period, and a second that covers the 2000-2017 time period. Thanks to the new developments now the User only has to construct *one meteorology file* covering the 1901-2017 time period. During the spinup run all years will be used from the meteorology file. However, during the normal phase the model will extract the 2000-2017 time period from the meteorology file and use it for the simulation! The same applies to the N deposition, CO_2 concentration and management input files. Additionally, now there is a new possibility to use data from an incomplete year as input data for the last simulation year (it means that simulation can be done for the ongoing, unfinished year).

The latest version of the model is Biome-BGCMuSo 6.1. Detailed description of the modifications will be published in a forthcoming technical document (Theoretical basis of Biome-BGCMuSo v6.1; in prep.). At the website of the model the CHANGELOG file contains list of modifications for the current model version.

This User's Guide was created to provide complete, application-oriented information for the use of the improved model. The Hidy et al. (2016) study documented the previous major model version (Biome-BGCMuSo 4.0; note that Biome-BGCMuSo has many version: v1.0, v1.1 v1.2, v1.3, v2.0, v2.1, v2.2, v2.3, v3.0, v4.0, v5.0, v6.0), but hereinafter only 6.1 is referred. Starting from Biome-BGCMuSo 6.0 it is possible to retrieve the model version with the command `muso.exe -v`.

Note that NTSG developed the original model with the inclusion of management using a novel disturbance handler module. In their implementation disturbance (or management) is described by a separate disturbance descriptor file. Our implementation of disturbance is similar to the NTSG approach in this sense, but the content of the management file differs substantially from the one used by NTSG.

2. Model description

Prior to using the model, the User might want to get information on the basic model logic. This section provides a brief overview on the model logic, and on the simulation phases that are essential for the preparation of the necessary input data.

2.1 Overview, general model logic

BBGCMuSo was developed from the Biome-BGC family of models (Thornton, 2000) and in this sense it is an extension and generalization of the Forest-BGC model for the description of different vegetation types including C3 and C4 grasslands (Running and Coughlan, 1988; Running and Gower, 1991; Running and Hunt, 1993; Thornton, 1998, 2000; White et al., 2000; Thornton and Rosenbloom, 2005; Trusilova et al., 2009).

The model uses daily time step, and is driven by daily values of maximum and minimum air temperatures, precipitation amount, solar radiation, and vapor pressure deficit. If the user only has basic meteorological data (daily maximum and minimum air temperature, and precipitation amount), the other input meteorological data can be easily estimated by the MT-CLIM model that can be considered as a validated and reliable preprocessor to Biome-BGC and BBGCMuSo (http://www.ntsg.umt.edu/project/mtclim).

BBGCMuSo uses meteorological data, site-specific data, ecophysiological data, carbon-dioxide concentration (CO_2), N-deposition (N-dep) and optional management data to simulate the biogeochemical processes of the given biome. The main simulated processes assessed are photosynthesis, allocation, litterfall, carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and water dynamics in the plant, litter and soil.

The most important blocks of the model are the carbon flux block, the phenological block and the soil flux block. In the carbon flux block gross primary production (GPP) of the biome is calculated using Farquhar's photosynthesis routine (Farquhar et al., 1980). Autotrophic respiration is separated into maintenance and growth respirations. Maintenance respiration is the function of the nitrogen content of living material, while growth respiration is calculated proportionally to the carbon allocated to the different plant compartments. The phenological block calculates foliage development; therefore it affects the accumulation of carbon and nitrogen in leaf, stem, root and litter. The soil block describes the decomposition of dead plant material and soil carbon pools (Running and Gower, 1991).

In BBGCMuSo the main parts of the ecosystem are defined as plant, soil and litter. Since the model simulates water, carbon and nitrogen cycles of ecosystems, the following main pools are defined (some of them are not present in specific ecosystems): leaf (carbon, nitrogen and water), fine root (carbon, nitrogen), fruit (carbon, nitrogen), soft stem (carbon, nitrogen), live wood (carbon, nitrogen), dead wood (carbon, nitrogen), coarse root (carbon, nitrogen), soil (carbon, nitrogen and water) and litter (carbon, nitrogen). Carbon and nitrogen pools have sub-pools, i.e. actual pools, storage pools and transfer pools. Actual sub-pools contain the amount of carbon or nitrogen available on the actual simulation day. Storage sub-pools store the amount that will appear next year (like a core or bud, or non-structural carbohydrate), while the transfer sub-pools store the whole content of the storage pool after the end of the actual transfer period (defined by model parameters) until the next one, and that will be transferred gradually into the leaf carbon pool (like a germ) in the next transfer period, in the beginning of the growing season.

One major difference between the earlier versions of Biome-BGC and BBGCMuSo is that in BBGCMuSo standing dead biomass and cut-down biomass pools are also defined. Another major difference is the representation of soil processes. In BBGCMuSo v6.1 a ten-layer soil submodel was implemented. The thicknesses of the active layers (layers 1-10) from the surface to the bottom are 3, 7, 20, 30, 30, 30, 30, 50, 200 and 600 cm (Table 1).

Table 1. Soil layers and their depth ranges used in BBGCMuSo v6.1

Layer 1	0-3 cm
Layer 2	3-10 cm
Layer 3	10-30 cm
Layer 4	30-60 cm
Layer 5	60-90 cm
Layer 6	90-120 cm
Layer 7	120-150 cm
Layer 8	150-200 cm
Layer 9	200-400 cm
Layer 10	400-1000 cm

The depth of each soil layer is represented by the middle level of the given layer (e.g. the thicknesses of the top soil layer is 0.03 m, therefore it is represented at 0.015 m). Soil texture can be defined by the percentage of sand and silt for each layer separately. Clay content is calculated by the model internally so as the sum of the fractions will be 100%.

From a rooting depth parameter (defined by the User in the ecophysiological parameters file; see below) BBGCMuSo calculates the number of the rooting layers (where root can be found). The percolated water (and soluble carbon and nitrogen) from the last rooting soil layer is a net loss, while the upward diffused water (and soluble carbon and nitrogen) from the bottom layer to the active layers is a net gain for the soil system.

2.2   Simulation phases including the novel transient run option and the implemented support for land use change related simulations

The model simulation has basically two phases. The first is the spinup simulation (in other words self-initialization, or equilibrium run), which starts with very low initial level of soil carbon and nitrogen, and runs until a steady state is reached with the climate in order to estimate the initial values of the state variables (mostly soil carbon and nitrogen pools including recalcitrant soil organic matter, the latter is being the primary source of nitrogen mineralization in the model; Thornton, 2000). The spinup phase consists of a number of "meteorological cycles" (the length of a cycle is determined by the number of simulation years set in the TIME_DEFINE section of the INI file; see below). The model examines the changes of soil and total carbon content of the ecosystem between these meteorological cycles. If the change is smaller than a pre-defined limit (a constant defined within the source code of Biome-BGCMuSo) the spinup phase ends. The second phase, the normal simulation

uses the results of the spinup simulation as initial values for the carbon and nitrogen pools. This simulation is performed for a given, predetermined time period.

The usual strategy for CO_2 and N-deposition control is to use constant (preindustrial) values during the spinup phase, then use annually varying CO_2 and N-deposition for the entire normal simulation (representative to present day conditions). However, this logic can lead to undesired transient behaviour during the first few simulation years of the normal run as the user may introduce a sharp change for the CO_2 and/or N-dep data (both are important drivers of plant growth).

In order to avoid this undesired sharp change in the environmental conditions between spinup and normal phase, a third simulation phase, a so-called transient simulation was implemented in BBGCMuSo. According to the modifications, now it is possible to make an automatic transient simulation after the spinup phase simply using the spinup INI file settings (and some ancillary files; see later). In other words, now it is possible to initiate 3 consecutive simulations instead of the usual two phases (triggered by the spinup and normal INI file).

As management might play an important role in site history and consequently in the biogeochemical cycles, in BBGCMuSo the new transient simulation can include management, in an annually varying fashion. The settings of management optionally defined within the initialization file of the spinup are only used during the transient run, but not during the regular spinup phase.

Another new feature was added to BBGCMuSo v6.1 that is also related with the proper simulation of site history. As the spinup phase is usually associated with preindustrial conditions, the normal phase might represent a plant functional type that is different from the one present in the spinup phase. For example, present-day croplands occupy land that was originally forest or grassland, so in this case spinup will simulate forest in equilibrium, and the normal phase will simulate croplands. Another example is the simulation of afforestation that might require spinup for grasslands, and normal phase for woody vegetation. We may refer to these scenarios as land use change (LUC) related simulations. One problem that is associated with LUC is the frequent crash of the model with the error 'negative nitrogen pool' during the beginning of the normal phase. This error is typical if the spinup and normal EPC files differ in terms of plant C:N ratios. The explanation of the error is not simple (and elimination of the error is indeed a hard task) due to the model logic: in BBGC changes within the defined pools due to e.g. allocation, litterfall, litter and soil organic matter decomposition etc. are calculated at the end of each simulation day. Due to this day-end calculations inconsistency might arise between available mineralized N and N demand by the plant, and this might cause negative nitrogen pool.

In order to avoid this error, we have implemented an automatism that solves this problem. According to the changes only the equilibrium carbon pools are passed to the normal phase from the endpoint (restart) file. The endpoint nitrogen pools are calculated by the model code so that the resulting carbon to nitrogen ratios are harmonized with the C:N ratio of the different plant compartments presented in the EPC file of the normal phase. This modification means that equilibrium nitrogen pools are not passed to the normal phase at all, but in our interpretation this issue is compensated with the fact that LUC and site history can be simulated properly.

A new feature of Biome-BGCMuSo 6.1 is that there is an option for the modification of soil water content within the restart file (which defines the initial condition for the normal run). This additional control on the initial soil water content in the normal run resolves possible inconsistencies between spinup and normal simulation if soil parameters are changed. Another new feature is that the transient run now creates its own output file: an additional "_T" string marks the output filename to be different from the spinup output file.

3. Input files

3.1 Overview

BBGCMuSo uses at least four input files each time it is executed. A brief description of all files is given first, followed by detailed discussions of each file.

The first required input file is called the *initialization* file (INI file in BBGC terminology). It provides general information about the simulation, including a description of the physical and climatic characteristics of the simulation site, a description of the time-frame for the simulation, the names of all the other required input files including optional management files, the names for output files that will be generated, and lists of variables to store in the output files.

The second required input file is the *meteorological data* file (MET file). It contains daily values for air temperature, precipitation, humidity (in terms of daylight vapor pressure deficit), radiation, and daylength at the simulation site. It can contain any number of data records. Important note: BBGCMuSo code assumes that all years have 365 days, so meteorological data files should be edited to remove one day from leap years (we propose to drop 31 December in the Northern hemisphere). A new feature in BBGCMuSo v6.1 is the possibility to use data from a truncated (incomplete) year as input data for the last simulation year. This means that if the User would like to use the model in the current, ongoing year as the last simulation year, meteorological data can contain data for less than 365 days. In this case the model estimates the missing meteorological data as the average of the daily data from the previous years.

The third required input file is the *ecophysiological constants* file (EPC file). It contains an ecophysiological description of the vegetation at a site, including parameters such as leaf C:N ratio, maximum stomatal conductance, fire and non-fire mortality frequencies, and allocation ratios. **Note that a major re-arrangement of the EPC file was implemented in Biome-BGCMuSo relative to the earlier versions, so the User should check the proper format and content of the EPC file in case of migration from 4.0 or 5.0.**

The fourth required input file is the *soil properties* file (SOIL file, having .soi file extension). It contains the detailed description of the soil at the site, including parameters such as soil composition, characteristic soil water content values (saturation, field capacity, wilting point, and hygroscopic water), bulk density, nitrogen cycle related parameters, decomposition related parameters etc. Some of the parameters were previously included in the EPC file in v4.0 and v5.0.

The most important optional input file is the *management* file (MGM file, having .mgm file extension) which allows the simulation of different human intervention (mowing, grazing, sowing, harvest, ploughing, irrigating, fertilizing and thinning).

There are also seven other optional input files: *carbon-dioxide* file, *nitrogen deposition* file, *mortality* file, *stomatal conductance* file, *groundwater* file, *onday* and *offday* file (see below).

The last input file is the special *restart* file, which is typically the output of the spinup phase and a necessary input for normal simulation. Detailed description of the files can be found below.

Important note: there are some parameters (EPC and SOIL, detailed in the following sections) where -9999 can be used as indicator of missing data. **-9999 cannot be used in other cases unless specifically stated in the manual!**

A NOTE ON USING SPECIAL CHARACTERS IN THE INPUT FILES

For compatibility reasons please do not use non-ASCII characters in your input files! Furthermore, we strongly recommend using either "\" or either "/" consistently for path definition. MS Windows can accept both types, but any other UNIX/UNIX-like systems such as macOS, Free-BSD or GNU/Linux uses only "/". For that reason, if it is not inconvenient for the Windows Users, we recommend to use only "/" for paths. With this little caution your input files will be portable.

3.2   Initialization file (INI file)

Below we introduce the structure of the INI file of the model which was substantially extended in comparison with the Biome-BGC v4.1.1. MPI version. The initialization file is broken into sections with a keyword starting each new section and a special keyword at the end of the file. Keywords must be present and should not be removed in any case! Additionally, please be very careful not to use empty lines inside the sections. This organization helps to ensure that the proper format for the initialization file is maintained while editing for new simulations. The order of the sections and the order of the lines within each section is critical, and changes in order will result in failed or flawed executions. It is highly recommended that the example INI files be copied to a safe directory for future reference on proper formatting. There is a fair amount of error checking on the INI file format, but it is still possible to scramble the order of lines in a way that the program does not detect. In this case the model will run, but the results will be garbage. Copying the template is the easiest way to make a new INI file with assurance of the correct format, but remember to replace ALL of the parameters with those for the new site. It is not necessary to have blank lines between the end of one section and the keyword for the next section, but keeping them in makes the INI file more readable.

Each line can contain up to 100 characters of comment information, after a keyword or after an input parameter (or after more than one input parameter; see below e.g. the soil texture definition in the SITE block). This information is for your reference in keeping the correct format in the INI file, and is ignored by the program. Every line is required, even if flags are set that mean the information on a line will be ignored. The first line of the file is for header information that helps you keep track of which INI file is for which simulation when you are doing a sequence of simulations. This line can contain up to 100 characters of information. There is no keyword for this header line.

The name of the INI files is not fixed. However, we propose to use the following filename convention. Name your spinup INI file as something_s.ini, and the normal INI file as something_n.ini where something is arbitrary (note the _s and _n convention!). This convention can be useful if you plan to use the so-called RBBGCMuSo R tool developed by our team (https://github.com/hollorol/RBBGCMuso). The work with RBBGCMuSo is much easier if you follow this convention as it helps the package to automatically identify the spinup or the normal INI files.

Below we provide examples for the specific sections using `Courier New Font`. A complete example INI file is given in Appendix A.

Section MET_INPUT
The first section begins with the keyword MET_INPUT. It has the following three lines:
1) name (including relative path if appropriate [relative path to the model executable file]) of input meteorological data file
2) number of header lines in meteorological data file
3) number of simulation days in the last simulation year (if less than 365, the last simulation year is truncated, and the missing meteorological data are estimated internally by the model)

```
MET_INPUT (keyword - do not remove)
MET/bugac_2009-2011.met  (filename) met file name
4         (int) number of header lines in met file
365       (int) number of simdays in last simyear (truncated year: < 365)
```

Section RESTART
The next section begins with the keyword RESTART. It has the following five lines:

1) flag (1 or 0) for reading (1) or not reading (0) a restart file from the end of a previous simulation
2) flag (1 or 0) for writing (1) or not writing (0) a restart file at the end of this simulation
3) input restart filename (including path if appropriate)
4) output restart filename (including path if appropriate)

Lines 3) is only relevant when flag in line 1) is set to 1. Line 4) is only relevant when flag in line 2) is set to 1.

```
RESTART (keyword - do not remove)
1                 (flag) 1: read restart 0: don't read restart
0                 (flag) 1: write restart 0: don't write restart
RST/bugacI.rst    (filename) name of the input restart file
RST/bugacO.rst    (filename) name of the output restart file
```

Section TIME_DEFINE
The next section begins with the keyword TIME_DEFINE. It has the following five lines:
1) number of years to run for this simulation
2) first simulation year (e.g. 1998)
3) flag (1 or 0) for spinup simulation (1) or normal simulation (0)
4) maximum number of spinup cycles to run in spinup model (note that the meaning of this variable changed in Biome-BGCMuSo v6.1; see below)

Line 1) should be equal to or less than the number of years of data in meteorological input data file. Line 2) defines the starting point for the simulation output, and is mainly used in the simple text output file (described below). Line 3) sets the simulation mode for either a spinup run or a normal run.
If spinup mode is selected, the meteorological data file will be recycled enough times to establish steady-state conditions in the soil carbon and nitrogen pools. IN THIS MODEL VERSION THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CYCLES HAS TO BE DEFINED INSTEAD OF THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF YEARS (THAT WAS THE CASE IN PREVIOUS MODEL VERSIONS)! One cycle means the total number of years defined by the meteorological input file. The number of cycles of simulation for a spinup run will depend on the climate and vegetation characteristics, but will not exceed the number of cycles specified on line 4). If a spinup run reaches the maximum number of cycles specified in line 4), it is likely that the resulting final soil carbon and nitrogen pools are not equilibrated with the climate, usually indicating a long-term net sink of carbon. This is observed, for example, in some boreal climates, where the model predicts long-term accumulation of organic matter (peat formation).
There is a new option for the spinup control in this model version. If the maximum number of spinup cycles (defined in the INI file) is a positive number then the spinup length is the function of soil carbon balance as described above. If it is a negative number the spinup length is determined by the provided number handled as the maximum number of spinup cycles! If the maximum number is reached in this case, the spinup process stops even if the soil organic matter is not in equilibrium with the current climate.
Another new feature is the differentiation of spinup tolerance parameter (threshold that is used to reach equilibrium with the climate and is used to control the length of the spinup phase). In case of woody biomes it is 0.0005, while it is 0.005 for non-woody biomes.

```
TIME_DEFINE (keyword - do not remove)
3               (int) number of simulation years
2009            (int) first simulation year
0               (flag) 1: spinup run 0: normal run
6000            (int) maximum number of spinup years
```

Section CO2_CONTROL
The next section begins with the keyword CO2_CONTROL. It has the following 3 lines:
1) flag (0,1) controlling CO_2 concentration: 0=constant, 1=varying using values from an external file
2) the value to use for constant CO_2 concentration (ppm)
3) the filename for annual CO_2 levels (see notes below for format information)

When line 1) is set to 0, then the value on line 2) sets the constant CO_2 level for the entire simulation. When line 1) is set to 1, then the file named on line 3) is used to define the annual timeseries of CO_2 concentration.

```
CO2_CONTROL (keyword - do not remove)
1                               (flag) 0=constant 1=vary with file
290.0                           (ppm) constant atmospheric CO2 concentration
CO2/CO2_2009-2011.txt           (filename) name of the CO2 file
```

This external text file must have one line for each simulation year (the number given on line 2 of the TIME_DEFINE section), and the format of each line should be like this:

```
1895  294.8
```

where the first value on the line is the year, and the second value is the CO_2 mole fraction (ppm).

Section NDEP_CONTROL
The next section begins with the keyword NDEP_CONTROL. It has the following 3 lines:
1) flag (1 or 0) for variable nitrogen deposition (1) or constant nitrogen depostition (0)
2) the value to use for constant atmospheric N deposition (kgN m^{-2} yr^{-1})
3) the filename for annual N-deposition levels (see notes below for format information)

When line 1) is set to 0, then the value set on line 2) is used as constant N-deposition for the entire simulation. When line 1) is set to 1, then the file named on line 3) is used to define the annual timeseries of N-deposition.

```
NDEP_CONTROL (keyword - do not remove)
1                               (flag) 0=constant 1=vary with file
0.001100                        (kgN/m2/yr) wet+dry atmospheric Ndep
NDEP/Ndep_1901-2000.txt         (filename) name of the N-dep file
```

Similarly to the CO_2 external file, this file also must have one line for each simulation year (the number given on line 2 of the TIME_DEFINE section), and the format of each line should be like this:

```
1895  0.015
```

where the first value is the year, and the second value is the N-deposition (kgN m^{-2} yr^{-1}).
IMPORTANT NOTE. There is an option for extra N-addition during the spinup phase in order to accelerate the spinup. If this option is enabled mineral N is added to the different soil layers during spinup to meet the demand. This function is optional in Biome-BGCMuSo 6.1 (in contrast to the original model versions). It is applicable only if the value used for constant atmospheric N deposition has a negative sign in the NDEP_CONTROL section of INI file.

A NOTE ON THE CO2_CONTROL AND NDEP_CONTROL SECTIONS

An important feature of the BBGCMuSo model is the possibility to control annually varying CO_2 concentration and N deposition independently, driven by separate text files (this feature was introduced in Biome-BGC v4.1.1 MPI version; Trusilova et al., 2009).

A new feature of BBGCMuSo 6.1 is the possibility to trigger a so-called transient simulation as an extension of the spinup phase (controlled solely by the spinup INI file). This feature was introduced to enable smooth transition from constant CO_2 and N deposition used in the spinup phase (representing preindustrial conditions, up to ~1850) to the higher CO_2 and N deposition values representative to present day (or past ~10-100 years) conditions.

If the User wants to initiate the transient run, he/she can set it in the spinup INI file by simply setting the CO2_CONTROL and/or NDEP_CONTROL flag to 1. It means that first a regular spinup will be performed with constant CO_2 and/or N deposition values set in the INI file, then a second run will be performed using the same meteorological data file defined by the spinup INI file.

The input for the transient run is the endpoint of the regular spinup, and the output of the transient simulation is the input for the normal phase with the same name.

As an example, spinup INI file might contain the following lines:

```
CO2_CONTROL (keyword - do not remove)
1                               (flag) 0=constant; 1=vary with file
280                             (ppm) constant atmospheric CO2 concentration
CO2/CO2_1901-2000.txt           (filename) name of the CO2 file

NDEP_CONTROL (keyword - do not remove)
1                               (flag) 0=constant; 1=vary with file
0.001100                        (kgN/m2/yr) wet+dry atmospheric N-dep
NDEP/Ndep_1901-2000.txt         (filename) name of the N-dep file
```

With these settings first a spinup simulation will be performed re-using the meteorological data (in this example spinup meteorology covers the time period of 1901-2000; not shown here), keeping both CO_2 (280 ppm) and N deposition constant (0.0011 kgN/m^2/yr). Then, as the flags are set to 1, transient simulation will be performed, using the 100-years-long meteorology, and the `CO2_1901-2000.txt` CO_2 data file, and the 100-years long `Ndep_1901-2000.txt` files. Note that the user has to make sure to construct the proper CO_2 and/or N deposition files used for the transient run.

If the CO2_CONTROL and NDEP_CONTROL flags are set to 0, no transient simulation will be performed. CO2_CONTROL can be also set to 1 while NDEP_CONTROL flag is 0 (and vica versa). If this happens, then only CO_2 (or only N deposition) will vary during the transient run.

Section SITE
The next section begins with the keyword SITE. It has the following lines:
1) site elevation in meters above mean sea level
2) site latitude in decimal degrees (negative values for southern hemisphere)
3) site shortwave albedo for bare soil (albedo is LAI-dependent in BBGCMuSo)
4) mean annual air temperature (Celsius) (representative long term mean)
5) mean annual air temperature range (Celsius) based on monthly mean temperatures
6) proportion of ammonium flux of natural reactive nitrogen deposition. This parameter is needed as BBGCMuSo handles ammonium and nitrate pools separately. If no information is available, we propose to set it to 0.5

```
SITE (keyword - do not remove)
111.4                           (m) site elevation
```

```
46.69                            (degrees) site latitude(- for Southern Hem.)
0.20                             (DIM) site shortwave albedo
11.00                            (Celsius) mean annual air temperature
13.42                            (Celsius) mean annual air temperature range
0.50                             (prop.) proportion of NH4 flux of N-deposition
```

Section SOI_FILE

The next section begins with the keyword SOI_FILE. It has a single line:
1) the name of the input soil file

```
SOI_FILE (keyword - do not remove)
SOI/bugac_apriori_MuSo6.soi        (filename) SOIL PROPERTIES filename
```

Details on the format and content of this file are given later in this document.

Section EPC_FILE

The next section begins with the keyword EPC_FILE. It has a single line:

1) the name of the input ecophysiological constants file

```
EPC_FILE (keyword - do not remove)
EPC/c3grass.epc                    (filename) EPC filename
```

Details on the format and content of this file are given later in this document.

Section MANAGEMENT_FILE

The next section begins with the keyword MANAGEMENT _FILE. It has a single line:
1) the name of the input management file

```
MANAGEMENT_FILE
none                             (filename) MGM filename (or "none")
```

In this example management is not used by the model. Details on the format and content of this file are given later in this document.

Section SIMULATION_CONTROL

The next section begins with the keyword *SIMULATION_CONTROL*. It has the following lines:

1) **phenology flag**: it specifies how the phenological control will be handled during the simulation. A value of 1 invokes the internal phenology routine (including the optional HSGSI method described in Hidy et al., 2012 or the original Biome-BGC model logic), while a value of 0 indicates that the user will supply information on the yeardays for the start of new growth and the end of the litterfall period within the EPC file. See below for more details on how to set these parameters for the case of user-specified phenology. Note that there is an option to use an external file to define annually varying, user-specified values (see below).

2) **GSI index to calculate growing season**: this switch is used to invoke the so-called HSGSI method (Hidy et al., 2012) to calculate start and end of the growing season. If it is set to 1, then the HSGSI method will be used to estimate start and end of the growing season (Hidy et al., 2012). If the flag is zero, the original Biome-BGC v4.1.1 phenology will be used. We propose to use the HSGSI method for herbaceous vegetation, while we suggest to use the original logic for forests and shrublands. If the simulated biome is a crop (planting and harvesting information is used) this flag should be set to 0 (in this case the start and the end of the vegetation period is the planting and the harvesting date, respectively).

3) **transferGDD flag**: it specifies how the transfer period is determined A value of 0 indicates that the model uses the transfer growth period as fraction of growing season parameter (line

14

11 in the EPC file) to calculate the limits of the transfer period, while in case of value 1 the transfer period is calculated based on allocation data using growing degree day (GDD) logic. GDD is a measure of heat accumulation (calculated by taking the integral of temperature above a base temperature). In the transfer period (in case of natural ecosystems it is the first phenological phase, while in case of agricultural plants it is defined by EPC file line 78) the extent of the transfer (flux from transfer pool to actual pool) is the linear function of GDD-increase).

4) **Q10 value flag**: an integer flag that can be used to enable air temperature dependent Q10 for autotrophic respiration (dynamic response of respiration to temperature). If the parameter is zero, then a constant Q10=2 will be used. In case of temperature dependent value (parameter is set to 1), Q10 is the function of the daily averaged air temperature: Q10=3.22-0.046Tday where Tday is the mean (average of minimum and maximum) temperature for a given day (Smith and Dukes, 2010).

5) **photosynthetic acclimation flag**: an integer flag specifying whether photosynthesis acclimation is enabled or not. A value of 0 means that acclimation is not simulated. If the flag is 1 it means that photosynthesis acclimation is simulated based on the method of Dyukarev (2017). A value of 2 enables photosynthesis acclimation that is simulated in a very simple way, by modifying the relationship between V_{cmax} (maximum rate of carboxylation) and J_{max} (maximum electron transport rate) which were temperature-independent in the original code (Kattge and Knorr, 2007). Temperature dependency is calculated based on average temperature for the previous 30 days.

6) **respiration acclimation flag**: an integer flag specifying whether maintenance respiration acclimation is enabled or not. A value of 0 means that acclimation is not simulated. If the flag is 1 it means that acclimation is simulated. Respiration acclimation is calculated after Tjoelker et al. (2001) and Smith and Dukes (2013). In this routine adjustment of respiration is calculated based on the mean air temperature of the previous 10 days.

7) **CO2 conductance reduction flag**: specifying whether stomatal conductance should be affected by changing atmospheric CO_2 concentration or not (Franks et al., 2013). We recommend setting this flag to 1.

8) **soil temperature calculation flag**: specifying the soil temperature calculation method. 0 invokes the Zheng et al. (1993) based method with logarithmic downward dampening of temperature fluctuations within the soil. 1 means that the empirical method of DSSAT/4M (Sándor and Fodor, 2012) will be used. The preferred method should be selected based on comparison with measurements at the specific site (if available).

9) **soil water calculation flag**: specifying the soil water balance calculation method. 0 means that soil water balance is simulated based on the Richards equation, while 1 means that the so-called tipping bucket method is used. For details see Hidy et al. (2016). There is no recommended value for this flag; the User has the freedom to test both methods and use the most appropriate based on comparison with measured data.

10) **discretization level of SWC calculation flag**: specifying the discretization level of soil water balance calculation method (in case of Richards method). 0 means low, 1 means medium, while 2 means high accuracy of the finite difference method used for soil water balance. Level 0 is the fastest, level 2 is the slowest. We propose to use level 0 for everyday simulation. It is only applicable in case of the Richards-equation based water balance method (if flag is 0 in line 9).

11) **photosynthesis calculation flag**: specifying the photosynthesis calculation method. 0 means that photosynthesis is simulated based on the Farquhar-method (which was the original method in Biome-BGC), while 1 means that the method of the DSSAT model is used (that is

a simple light use efficiency model). There is no recommended value for this flag; the User has the freedom to test both methods and use the most appropriate based on comparison with measured data.

12) **evapotranspiration calculation flag**: specifying the evapotranspiration (ET) calculation method. 0 means that ET is simulated based on the Penman-Monteith method (original model logic), while 1 means simulation based on the Pristley-Taylor method. NOTE THAT IN THE CURRENT MODEL VERSION ONLY THE PENMAN-MONTEITH METHOD IS IMPLEMENTED, SO THE FLAG MUST BE SET TO NIL. The Pristley-Taylor method will be implemented in forthcoming model versions.

13) **radiation calculation flag**: it specifies the radiation calculation method. 0 means that within the ET routine absorbed shortwave radiation drives ET, while 1 means that the internally calculated net radiation (Rn) drives the ET routine. There is no recommended value for this flag; the User has the freedom to test both methods and use the most appropriate based on comparison with measured data.

14) **soilstress calculation method**: it specifies the soil moisture stress calculation method. 0 means that soil water stress is based on difference between the actual and the characteristic soil water content values, while 1 means that stress is based on transpiration demand.

```
SIMULATION_CONTROL
1       (flag) phenology flag (1 = MODEL PHENOLOGY 0 = USER-SPECIFIED PHENOLOGY)
1       (flag) use GSI index to calculate vegper if MODEL PHENOLOGY is used (0:original,1:GSI)
0       (flag) transferGDD flag (1= transfer calc. from GDD 0 = transfer calc. from EPC)
1       (flag) q10 flag (1 = temperature dependent q10 value; 0= constant q10 value)
1       (flag) acclimation flag of photosynthesis (1 = acclimation 0 = no acclimation)
1       (flag) acclimation flag of respiration (1 = acclimation 0 = no acclimation)
1       (flag) CO2 conductance reduction flag (0: no effect, 1: multiplier)
0       (flag) soil temperature calculation method (0: Zheng, 1: DSSAT)
1       (flag) soil hydrological calculation method (0: Richards, 1: tipping DSSAT)
0       (int) discretization level of soil hydr.calc.[Richards-method] (0:low,1:medium,2:high)
0       (flag) photosynthesis calculation method (0: Farquhar, 1: DSSAT)
0       (flag) evapotranspiration calculation method (0: Penman-Monteith)
0       (flag) radiation calculation method (0: SWabs, 1: Rn)
0       (flag) soilstress calculation method (0: based on SWC, 1: based on transp. demand)
```

In previous BBGCMuSo versions this block was part of the EPC file. These flags control the model simulation in terms of selected processes. Using these flags so-called algorithm ensemble can be created which means that the selected simulation can be repeated using alternative logic for some processes (e.g. photosynthesis).

Section W_STATE
The next section begins with the keyword W_STATE. It has the following two lines:
1) initial snowpack water content (start of simulation)
2) initial soil water content as a proportion of field capacity (note that in earlier model version it was proportion of saturation)

```
W_STATE (keyword – do not remove) start of water state variable initialization block
0.0             (kg/m2) water stored in snowpack
1.0             (DIM) initial soil water as a proportion of field capacity
```

When using a restart file (which is the result of the spinup/transient phase) these values are ignored. Otherwise, they set the initial conditions for the water state variables (storage components) on the first day of simulation. Line 1) sets the snowpack, and is in water equivalent units, where kg water/m^2 is equivalent to mm of water. The second line controls the initial soil water content. This is set as a proportion of field capacity so that the user is not required to know the field capacity water content of the site (depends on texture and depth). For a spinup run, these values are used as the initial conditions.

Section CN_STATE
The next section begins with the keyword CN_STATE. It has the following lines:
1) peak leaf carbon to be attained during the first simulation year
2) peak fine root carbon to be attained during the first year
3) peak fruit carbon to be attained during the first year
4) peak soft stem carbon to be attained during the first year
5) peak live woody stem carbon to be attained during the first year
6) peak live coarse root carbon to be attained during the first year
7) initial coarse woody debris carbon (dead trees, standing or fallen), defined separately for all 10 soil layers
8) initial litter carbon, labile pool for the 10 soil layers
9) initial litter carbon, unshielded cellulose pool for the 10 soil layers
10) initial litter carbon, shielded cellulose pool for the 10 soil layers
11) initial litter carbon, lignin pool for the 10 soil layers
12) soil carbon, fast pool for the 10 soil layers
13) soil carbon, medium pool for the 10 soil layers
14) soil carbon, slow pool for the 10 soil layers
15) soil carbon, slowest pool for the 10 soil layers
16) initial litter nitrogen, labile pool for the 10 soil layers
17) initial soil mineralized nitrogen, ammonium pool for the 10 soil layers
18) initial soil mineralized nitrogen, nitrate pool for the 10 soil layers

```
CN_STATE (keyword – do not remove) start of carbon state variable initialization block
0.001                                        (kgC/m2) first-year maximum leaf carbon
0.001                                        (kgC/m2) first-year maximum fine root carbon
0.001                                        (kgC/m2) first-year maximum fruit carbon
0.001                                        (kgC/m2) first-year maximum soft stem carbon
0.001                                        (kgC/m2) first-year maximum live woody stem carbon
0.001                                        (kgC/m2) first-year maximum live coarse root carbon
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) coarse woody debris carbon
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) litter carbon (labile)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) litter carbon (unshield.cellulose)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) litter carbon (shielded cellulose)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) litter carbon (lignin)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) soil carbon (fast microb.recycl.)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) soil carbon (medium microb.recyc.)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) soil carbon (slow microb.recycl.)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgC/m2) soil carbon (recalcitrant SOM)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgN/m2) litter nitrogen, labile pool
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgN/m2) soil mineralized N pool (ammonium)
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0      (kgN/m2) soil mineralized N pool (nitrate)
```

Like in the W_STATE section, these values are ignored when using an input restart file, since all the initial conditions are defined by the endpoint from the previous simulation (usually a spinup run and the optional transient run). In starting a spinup run these values have to be supplied. The above example shows the best approach to these initial conditions for a spinup run: start with very low initial leaf area, and no carbon in any of the other pools. This is essentially a primary succession simulation, starting with no organic matter and a very meager colonizing plant cover. The development of soil organic matter depends on the site's climate and external fluxes of nitrogen (deposition, fixation, leaching, and volatilization), as well as on the vegetation type. The end result is that soil and litter pools are in equilibrium with climate and N deposition/loss rates. If these values are assigned without performing a spinup/transient run, then the initial model dynamics are likely to represent a transient response to disequilibrium conditions between the specified initial conditions and the specified climate and N deposition/loss. This can produce very misleading signals in net

ecosystem exchange of carbon that can persist for many hundreds of years. For this reason it is recommended to start the simulations with a spinup run, then a restart from the spinup conditions.

Section CLIM_CHANGE
The next section begins with the keyword CLIM_CHANGE. It has the following five lines:
1) temperature offset for maximum air temperature
2) temperature offset for minimum air temperature
3) scaling factor for precipitation
4) scaling factor for vapor pressure deficit
5) scaling factor for incoming shortwave radiation

This section is included to facilitate simulation of simple climate change effects on ecosystem processes. The default values are shown in the example below (also see Appendix A), which result in no changes to the input meteorological data. Increases or decreases in temperature (Tmax and/or Tmin) can be introduced with lines 1) and 2). The values indicated are simply added to the daily values from the meteorological data file. For precipitation, VPD, and radiation, the daily values from the input file are multiplied by the values on lines 3), 4), and 5) to get new daily values. This mechanism does not allow for the simulation of seasonal differences in climate change.

```
CLIM_CHANGE (keyword - do not remove)
0.0             (degC) offset for Tmax
0.0             (degC) offset for Tmin
1.0             (degC) multiplier for PRCP
1.0             (degC) multiplier for VPD
1.0             (degC) multiplier for RAD
```

Section CONDITIONAL_MANAGEMENT_STRATEGIES
This section begins with keyword CONDITIONAL_MANAGEMENT_STRATEGIES. It has the following 8 lines:
1) flag (0 or 1) to use (1) or not use (0) CONDITIONAL MOWING option
2) fixed value of leaf area index before CONDITIONAL MOWING
3) fixed value of leaf area index after CONDITIONAL MOWING
4) transported part of plant material after CONDITIONAL MOWING
5) flag (0 or 1) to use (1) or not use (0) CONDITIONAL IRRIGATION option
6) soil moisture stress index before CONDITIONAL IRRIGATION (-9999: soil water content ratio is used by conditional irrigation)
7) average soil water content ratio of root zone before CONDITIONAL IRRIGATION (-9999: soil moisture stress index is used by conditional irrigation)
8) average soil water content ratio of root zone after CONDITIONAL IRRIGATION
8) maximum amount of irrigated water in CONDITIONAL IRRIGATION

```
CONDITIONAL_MANAGEMENT_STRATEGIES (keyword - do not remove)
0.0             (flag)  conditional mowing ? 0 - no, 1 - yes
0.0             (m2/m2) fixed value of the LAI before MOWING
0.0             (m2/m2) fixed value of the LAI after MOWING
0.0             (%)     transported part of plant material after MOWING
0               (flag)  conditional irrigation? 0 - no, 1 - yes
0.0             (prop)  SMSI before cond. IRRIGATION (-9999: SWCratio is used)
0.0             (prop)  SWCratio of root zone before cond. IRRIGATION (-9999: SMSI is used)
0.0             (prop)  SWCratio of root zone after cond. IRRIGATION
0.0             (kgH2O/m2) maximum amount of irrigated water
```

This section is included to support implementation of different management strategies, but not in the usual way (which means that management action happens on a predefined day; the

"usual" management activities are defined in a separate management file), but instead if a particular condition is met. In case of grasslands conditional mowing happens if the leaf area index of the grass reaches a threshold value (fixed value of the LAI before MOWING). The extent of the mowing is determined by the "fixed value of the LAI after MOWING" parameter. Conditional irrigation occurs if the soil moisture content of the simulated soil decreases below a threshold value. This critical value can be set by the critical soil water content ratio (for definition see Theoretical basis) or the soil moisture stress parameter (for definition see Theoretical basis). The irrigated amount of water is determined by the soil water content ratio of the root zone after conditional irrigation parameter.

Section OUTPUT_CONTROL
The next section begins with the keyword OUTPUT_CONTROL. It has the following 6 lines:
1) text string giving the prefix for all output files, including path if appropriate
2) flag (0, 1, 2 or 3) to not write (0), to write in binary output file (1) to write in ASCII output file (i.e. text, which means that the file can easily be read directly by Excel) (2) or to write on the screen (3) the daily variables (output variables interpreted on daily scale)
3) flag (0, 1, 2 or 3) to not write (0), to write in binary output file (1) to write in ASCII output file (i.e. text, which means that the file can easily be read directly by Excel) (2) or to write on the screen (3) the monthly averages of the daily variables
4 flag (0, 1, 2 or 3) to not write (0), to write in binary output file (1) to write in ASCII output file (i.e. text, which means that the file can easily be read directly by Excel) (2) or to write on the screen (3) the annual averages of the daily variables
5) flag (0, 1, 2 or 3) to not write (0), to write in binary output file (1) to write in ASCII output file (with .txt extension, which means that the file can easily be read directly by any text editor like Notepad, EditPad Lite, and even with Excel) (2) or to write on the screen (3) the annual variables (output variables interpreted on annual scale)
6) flag (1 or 0) to send (1) or not send (0) simulation progress information to the screen

```
OUTPUT_CONTROL (keyword - do not remove)
outputs/bugac_apriori_MuSo6          (filename) output prefix
1          (flag) writing daily output (0 = no; 1 = binary; 2 = ascii)
0          (flag) writing monthly average of daily output (0=no; 1=binary; 2=ascii)
0          (flag) writing annual average of daily output (0=no; 1=binary; 2=ascii)
2          (flag) writing annual output (0=no; 1=binary; 2=ascii)
1          (flag) for on-screen progress indicator
```

The user can select two different groups of variables for output; one group that will be used for daily output and the monthly and annual averages of daily values, and a second group that is used for writing year-end (day 365) values for each simulation year. The flag for daily output on line 3) does not need to be set to 1 or 2 in order to get monthly and/or annual averages of the daily output variables. Setting any of the output flags to 1 or 2 (lines 2-5) will result in the creation of an output file, having the specified path and filename prefix (from line 1) and the following suffix depending on which type of output was requested:
daily output suffix: ".dayout"
monthly average output suffix: ".monavgout"
annual average output suffix: ".annavgout"
year-end output suffix: ".annout

Section DAILY_OUTPUT
The next section begins with the keyword DAILY_OUTPUT. The number of lines can vary depending on the number of output variables requested, as follows:
1) the number of daily output variables requested. This value can be 0.
2) the index number for the first requested daily output variable

3) the index number for the second requested daily output variable
4.) etc.

```
DAILY_OUTPUT (keyword)
3              number of daily output variables
3009           daily_gpp
3014           daily_tr
2520           proj_lai
```

The number of lines after line 1) must equal the number of daily output variables specified on line 1). There are more than 3000 possible output variables, and the use of an index is the simplest way to allow the User access to all of them without introducing complicated parsing routines that would tend to clutter the code. The index number for each variable is listed in a separate Excel file available at http://agromo.agrar.mta.hu/bbgc/files/ MuSo6.1_variables.xlsx (they are also extractable from the output_map_init.c and the bgc_struct.h source file, which can be found at the official download page at http://agromo.agrar.mta.hu/bbgc/download.html).

This indexing system is admittedly awkward for new users, since it requires first knowing which data structure element to reference and then getting the index value for that element. On the other hand, it has the advantages of being unambiguous and providing a direct user interface to the logical organization of the data structures used in the code.

As in all INI file lines with one defined value, all text after the first value on each output specification line is ignored by the program. There is one important exception if the user selected ASCII for the output file format in the OUTPUT_CONTROL section of the INI file. In this case the User has to provide a descriptive comment (explanatory text for the output variable) after the index of the output parameter. This is needed as the header of the ASCII output file contains the descriptive comments of the variables. In case of binary or on-screen output writing descriptive comments are not necessary (there are no header lines in these cases).

The variables requested in this section are available for daily output, for monthly average output, and for annual average output, specified by the flags in the OUTPUT_CONTROL section.

Section ANNUAL_OUTPUT
The next section begins with the keyword ANNUAL_OUTPUT. It has exactly the same format as just described for the DAILY_OUTPUT section.

```
ANNUAL_OUTPUT (keyword)
3              number of annual output variables
3060           litrc
3061           soilc
3063           sminn
```

The variables requested in this section are reported once each year (yearday 365, that is the last day of the year) and are stored in the *.annout file. This provides a once-in-a-year snapshot of the system state and activity, and so it is most appropriate for recording system states that are changing relatively slowly, such as soil carbon, vegetation carbon, etc. Remember that if annual averages of system behavior or system state are desired, then it is necessary to specify these variables in the DAILY_OUTPUT section, and set the annual averaging of daily output flag to 1 (line 5 in OUTPUT_CONTROL section).

Just like in case of DAILY_OUTPUT, if the user selected ASCII for the annual output file format in the OUTPUT_CONTROL section of the INI file the User has to provide a descriptive comment (explanatory text for the output variable) after the index of the output parameter. This is needed as the header of the ASCII output file contains the descriptive

comments of the variables. In case of binary or on-screen output writing descriptive comments are not necessary (there are no header lines in these cases).

Section END_INIT
The last section of the INI file consists only of the keyword END_INIT, signaling the end of the file. This signal is used to make sure that the proper number of lines have been read from the INI file.

```
END_INIT (keyword) indicates the end of the initialization file
```

3.3 Meteorological input file (MET file)

As described above, the MET_INPUT section of the INI file names a file containing the meteorological data used to drive a BBGCMuSo simulation. This file can contain any number of header lines, followed by numeric values for the meteorological data. The number of header lines must be specified after the filename in the MET_INPUT section of the INI file.

Starting from Biome-BGCMuSo 6.1 the model uses the year information for the normal simulation (this was not the case in earlier Biome-BGC and Biome-BGCMuSo versions - see also the Introduction). It means that the User might create a long meteorological data file covering many years and the model will use the appropriate years if model run is initiated for a subset of years (set by the INI file, using the 'first simulation year' defined within the *TIME_DEFINE* section). This new logic provides flexibility for the Biome-BGC community that was never available before.

Below is an example of the top of a meteorological data file having four header lines:

```
Bugac, 2009-2011: input for MTCLIM v4.3
MTCLIM v4.3 OUTPUT FILE
year day Tmax  Tmin  Tday prcp     VPD    srad    daylen
          °C    °C    °C   cm       Pa     W/m2        s
2009 1 -4.70  -8.05 -6.52 0.00    25.88   40.68    30346
2009 2 -1.10  -5.90 -2.79 0.00   113.53  116.23    30400
2009 3 -2.03 -11.95 -5.54 0.00    56.67  177.67    30459
2009 4 -3.63 -17.26 -8.45 0.00    20.00   58.86    30522
2009 5 -2.76  -9.56 -4.68 0.00    83.68  105.26    30590
2009 6 -1.84  -9.34 -3.95 0.00    88.24  206.67    30662
2009 7 -4.52  -9.35 -5.35 0.00    42.50   29.07    30738
2009 8 -0.51 -10.99 -3.31 0.00   161.18  189.28    30819
```

In this case, the meteorological input file is generated by the MT-CLIM (version 4.3) program, as indicated in the header lines. Meteorological data files generated by MT-CLIM version 4.3 will always have four header lines, with the last two header lines describing the variables and their units. This example also illustrates the required input variables, their units, and their order. BBGCMuSo requires these variables, using these units, and in this order, with no additional variables, for every simulation. The spacing between variables is not important, as long as there is some white space between each value on a line. Do not use commas or other non-white space separators.

The nine values required for each day are as follows:
1) year: the numerical year, repeated for each yearday
2) yday: the numerical day of the year, values must start with 1
3) Tmax: the daily maximum air temperature (°C)
4) Tmin: the daily minimum air temperature (°C)
5) Tday: the average *daytime* air temperature (sunrise to sunset, °C)
6) prcp: the daily total precipitation (cm, *not millimeters!*)
7) VPD: the *daylight average* vapor pressure deficit (Pa)
8) srad: the *daylight average* shortwave radiant flux density (W/m^2)
9) daylen: the daylength (sunrise to sunset, seconds)

The meteorological input data file can contain any number of years of data, and each year must have exactly 365 days (except in case of truncated last simulation year that is set in

the MET_INPUT block of INI file; *number of simdays in last simyear, truncated year: <= 365),* with one line of data per day, and no separations between years.

As BBGCMuSo expects each year to have 365 days of data as maximum, leap years will have to be truncated by eliminating one day. We suggest eliminating 31 December of a leap year, since then the yearday numbering doesn't have to be adjusted. We have found that this truncation has negligible effects on most simulations. The rationale for requiring 365-day years is that the met data files are commonly of shorter duration than the intended simulation, so they must be "recycled" enough times to match the number of requested simulation years. Recycling is also essential in the spinup runs. If the number of years in the met data file is not a multiple of four, then the handling of leap days in the code becomes very tedious, and introduces several layers of testing and manipulation between the input and the process algorithms. We have accepted the small errors introduced by eliminating one day from leap years in exchange for code that is clear and easier to maintain. Meteorological data files can be assembled from observations if all of the required parameters have been measured for the site of interest. They can also be generated using the MT-CLIM program using only observations of temperature and precipitation. The MT-CLIM code and documentation is available from the NTSG website: http://www.ntsg.umt.edu/project/mtclim. Automatic meteorological file retrieval is also possible within the RBBGCMuso package (using ERA5 reanalysis data).

In Biome-BGCMuSo v6.1 there is a major change in the simulation logic performed at the southern hemisphere (SH). Starting from version 6.1, in case of simulations performed at the southern hemisphere the first simulation day is always 3 July (DOY 184). This shift also affects the end of the simulation. The model internally estimates the shifted meteorological data (missing 184 days after the last meteorological year) as the average of the daily data from the previous years presented as a truncated meteorological dataset.

This logic is supplemented by a new feature in BBGCMuSo which is the possibility to use meteorological data from an incomplete (truncated) year as input data for the last simulation year. This means that if the User would like to use the model in the current, ongoing year as the last simulation year, meteorological data can contain data for less than 365 days. In this case the model estimates the missing meteorological data as the average of the daily data from the previous years. Starting with Biome-BGCMuSo 6.1 the numbering of the simulation days starts from 1 (instead of 0) also in the daily output data.

A note on the bug related to the calculation of daylight average air temperature

According to the Biome-BGC 4.2 User's Guide there was a bug in the source code of the model that is related to the calculation of daylight average temperature (Thornton and Running, 2002): *„An incorrect parameter was being used in the calculation of the daylight average air temperature in daymet.c. The parameter value in version 4.1.1 was 0.212, and the correct value, for consistency with the MT-CLIM and Daymet code, should be 0.45. The daylight average air temperature (tday) is used in the photosynthesis routine, and in the calculation of daytime leaf maintenance respiration. As an example of the net result of changing to the correct value, the example simulations described later in this guide show an increase in steady state leaf area index of about 10% and an increase in steady state net primary production of about 5%. Thanks to Michael Guzy at Oregon State University for finding this bug."*

We corrected this bug in BBGCMuSo, but not in terms of the parameter in daymet.c, but we modified the model in order to use the daylight average temperature value that is provided by the meteorological input file (MT-CLIM output in many cases). We prefer this solution as the user of the model can use his/her own calculation method for daylight average

temperature (e.g. based on hourly measurements), which would be meaningless if the model would re-calculate its value based on daily maximum and minimum temperature.

3.4   Soil properties input file (SOI file)

This input file defines the characteristics of the soil being simulated (including soil texture, decomposition constants and nitrogen cycle related parameters). It is kept separate from the initialization file so that multiple initialization files can reference the same soil properties without further effort. Note that all SOIL files must have the same parameter lines, keeping the predefined order, but not all lines are relevant to all vegetation types.

The following section describes the structure of the SOIL file. The line number refers to the number of line in the text file (counting starts from 1; note that the text files might use Windows or UNIX/Linux format as well). We provide the units for the parameter in question and a short text description (some parameters are dimensionless, and these units are given as DIM). This is followed by a detailed description of the parameter in question.

```
line 1
SOILPROP FILE - MuSo6
```

The first line of each SOI file starts with the word SOILPROP keyword, which is only a recommended headline, but it must contain text.

```
lines 2-3
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
NITROGEN AND DECOMPOSITION PARAMETERS
```

This is a dividing line and keyword to indicate the start of the nitrogen and decomposition block.

```
line 4
(1/gCO2)   soil respiration related denitrification rate
```
Denitrification rate controls the fraction of the respiration of soil organic matter which is available to volatilization each day. Its default value is 0.05 (Parton et al., 2001) but this parameter is subject to optimization. For details about the newly implemented denitrification routine see the forthcoming Hidy et al. 2021 (in prep.).

```
lines 5-6
(prop.)   net mineralization proportion of nitrification
(prop.)   maximum nitrification rate
```

These nitrification related parameters are used in the empirical function of the nitrification simulation. The default values are 0.2 and 0.1, respectively. For details about implementation of nitrification in BBGCMuSo v6.1 - see Hidy et al.2021 (in prep.).

```
line 7
(prop.)   coefficient of N2O emission of nitrification
```

Coefficient of N_2O emission of nitrification is used in the empirical function of nitrification. Its default value is 0.02. For details about nitrification see Hidy et al. 2021 (in prep.).

```
lines 8
(prop.)   NH4 mobilen proportion
```
Mobile ammonium proportion is the fraction of mineralized ammonium that is available for leaching and different biological processes (such as nitrification or denitrification). The default value is 0.1. We assumed that the entire nitrate amount is mobile (no quantification of nitrate mobile proportion is required).

```
lines 9
(prop.)   denitrification related N₂/N₂O ratio multiplier
```

Denitrification related N_2/N_2O ratio multiplier modifies the ratio of N_2 and N_2O gas fluxes during denitrification. The default value is 2.

```
line 10
(m)   e-folding depth of decomposition rate's depth scalar
```

In the original Biome-BGC model decomposition rate of SOM is limited by temperature and soil moisture. In BBGCMuSo v6.1 we included a limitation by depth of the soil column via an exponential decay using e-folding depth of decomposition rate (see Koven et al., 2013). Its default value is 10.

```
lines 11-14
(prop.)   fraction of dissolved part of SOIL1 organic matter
(prop.)   fraction of dissolved part of SOIL2 organic matter
(prop.)   fraction of dissolved part of SOIL3 organic matter
(prop.)   fraction of dissolved part of SOIL4 organic matter
```

Beside N-leaching, the leaching of soil organic carbon pools was also implemented in Biome-BGCMuSo v6.1. Leaching calculation is based on the function of the presumed proportion of the soil organic carbon pools calculated by dissolved fraction parameters, soil water content and percolation fluxes. The default values are 0.01 for all four of them.

```
lines 15-18
(prop.)   minimum WFPS for scalar of nitrification calculation
(prop.)   lower optimum WFPS for scalar of nitrification calculation
(prop.)   higher optimum WFPS for scalar of nitrification calculation
(prop.)   minimum value for saturated WFPS scalar of nitrification calculation
```

In the previous versions of BBGCMuSo the nitrification was the function of relative soil water content (used also in decomposition calculation). From BBGCMuSo 6.1 the nitrification is the function of water filled pore space (WFPS) based on the method of Parton et al. (2001). These four lines define the function for the nitrification calculation as function of WFPS. See Fig. 3 in Parton et al. (2001).

```
line 19
(prop.)   C:N ratio of stable soil pool (soil4)
```

Through this parameter the user can set the C:N ratio of stable soil pool (recalcitrant SOM pool). Proposed value is around 12. C:N ratio of faster decomposing SOM pools are adjusted according to this parameter.

```
lines 20-21
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
RATE SCALARS
```

This is a dividing line and keyword used by the code to interpret the start of a block of rate scalars.

```
lines 22-27
(DIM)        respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (l1s1)
(DIM)        respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (l2s2)
(DIM)        respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (l4s3)
(DIM)        respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (s1s2)
(DIM)        respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (s2s3)
(DIM)        respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (s3s4)
```

Respiration fractions for allocation fluxes between litter (labile, cellulose, lignin) and soil (fast microbial, medium microbial, slow microbial and recalcitrant SOM/humus compartments). The default values are 0.39, 0.55, 0.29, 0.28, 0.46 and 0.55, respectively. We propose to leave these parameters intact unless there is strong evidence that the actual values differ from those presented here (and proposed by Thornton, 1998).

```
lines 28-35
(DIM) rate constant scalar of labile litter pool
(DIM) rate constant scalar of cellulose litter pool
(DIM) rate constant scalar of lignin litter pool
(DIM) rate constant scalar of fast microbial recycling pool
(DIM) rate constant scalar of medium microbial recycling pool
(DIM) rate constant scalar of slow microbial recycling pool
(DIM) rate constant scalar of recalcitrant SOM (humus) pool
(DIM) rate constant scalar of physical fragmentation of coarse woody debris
```

Base values of rate constants to calculate the non-nitrogen limited decomposition fluxes between litter and soil compartments. The default values are 0.7, 0.07, 0.014, 0.07, 0.014, 0.0014, 0.0001 and 0.001, respectively. We propose to leave these parameters intact.

```
lines 36-37
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
CH4 PARAMETERS
```

This is a dividing line and keyword used by the code to interpret the start of a block of methane parameters.

```
lines 38-39
(DIM) param1 for CH4 calculations (empirical function of bulk density)
(DIM) param2 for CH4 calculations (empirical function of bulk density)
```

Empirical parameters for CH_4 flux estimation using bulk density of the soil based on the method of Hashimoto et al. (2011). The default values are 212.5 and 1.81, respectively. The description of the estimation can be found in Hidy et al. (2016).

```
lines 40-43
(DIM) param1 for CH4 calculations (empirical function of SWC)
(DIM) param2 for CH4 calculations (empirical function of SWC)
(DIM) param3 for CH4 calculations (empirical function of SWC)
(DIM) param4 for CH4 calculations (empirical function of SWC)
```

Empirical parameters for CH_4 flux estimation using soil water content following the method of Hashimoto et al. (2011). The default values are -1.353, 0.2, 1.781 and 6.786, respectively. The description of the estimation can be found in Hidy et al. (2016).

```
line 44
(DIM) param1 for CH4 calculations (empirical function of Tsoil)
```

Empirical parameter for CH_4 flux estimation using soil temperature based the method of Hashimoto et al. (2011). The default value is 0.01. The description of the estimation can be found in Hidy et al. (2016).

```
lines 45-46
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
SOIL GENERIC PARAMETERS
```

This is a dividing line and keyword used by the code to interpret the start of a block of generic soil parameters.

```
line 47
(m) depth of soil
```

This parameter defines the depth of the soil on a given site, which determines the potential rooting depth of plants to be grown. Bedrock is the bottom of the soil layer. Its default value is 3.

```
line 48
(mm)     limitation of soil evaporation
```
Actual soil evaporation is calculated from potential soil evaporation based on the method of Joe Ritchie (1972). If the cumulated soil evaporation (calculated from the last rainfall event) is greater than this parameter, the actual soil evaporation is limited (less than potential value). The default value of this parameter is 6 mm.

```
line 49
(mm) maximum height of pond water
```

If the soil water content reaches the saturation level of the given soil, pond, or in other words stagnating water is generated. The maximum height of pond is supposed to be the function of soil type, but the User has the freedom to define it via line 44. Its default value is 0.1.

```
line 50
(mm) curvature of soil stress function
```

In previous Biome-BGCMuSo versions the soil moisture stress function was a linear ramp function between field capacity (or other critical soil water content set in EPC file) and wilting point. Starting from BBGCMuSo 6.1, the shape of this soil stress function can be set using this curvature parameter. This new parameter is introduced to provide mechanism for soil texture dependent drought stress (caused by texture-specific matric potential).

```
line 51
(DIM) runoff curve number (-9999: no data, model estimation)
```

The runoff curve number (RCN) is an empirical parameter used for predicting direct runoff after a large precipitation event. Its default value is 60. In absence of measured data the model will internally estimate its value (i.e. User can set this parameter to -9999).

```
line 52
(s/m) aerodynamic resistance of the soil surface
```

In potential evaporation calculation it is assumed that the resistance for vapor transport is equal to the resistance for sensible heat transport. That is, no additional resistance for vapor transport to the soil surface. Aerodynamic resistance represents the evaporation from a wet surface. In previous model versions this was set as a constant, and is was taken from observations over bare soil in tiger-bush in south-west Niger. In this version we lett he User adjust this parameter to increase flexibility.

```
lines 53-54
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
SOIL COMPOSITION AND CHARACTERISTIC VALUES (-9999: no measured data)
```

This is a dividing line and keyword used by the code to interpret the start of a block of soil composition and characteristic values.

```
lines 55-56
(%) sand percentage by volume
(%) silt percentage by volume
```

Soil is a mixture of organic matter, minerals, gases and liquids. The mineral components of soils are sand, silt and clay, and their relative proportions determine a soil's texture (physical composition). These parameters are defined by lines 48-49 separately for each soil layer. The clay component is calculated by the model internally based on the sand and silt percentage (sand+silt+clay = 100%).

```
line 57
(dimless) soil pH
```

Soil pH is a measure of the acidity or basicity of a soil. This is a new variable of BBGCMuSo and it is used in the nitrification submodel of Biome-BGCMuSo. Its default value is 7.0.

```
line 58
(g/cm3) bulk density
```

Bulk density is a property of soil granules and it is defined as the mass of the bulk of particles of the material divided by the total volume they occupy. It is used in the estimation of methane fluxes and in the soil temperature calculations. This value can be set by the User or can be estimated by the model internally based on empirical functions if no data are available regarding to this variable (for internal estimation it should be set to -9999).

```
lines 59-62
(m3/m3)SWC at saturation
(m3/m3)SWC at field cap.
(m3/m3)SWC at wilting point
(m3/m3)SWC hygroscopic
```

Volumetric water content or moisture content is the quantity of water contained within the soil. The most important characteristic soil moisture values are soil type-depending: saturation (fully saturated soil), field capacity (soil moisture few days after a rain or irrigation), wilting pont (minimum soil moisture at which a plant wilts), hydroscopic water (remaining water at high tension; it is also referred as inaccessible water for the plants). These values can be set by the User or can be estimated by the model internally (based on https://www.mta-taki.hu/en/eu_soilhydrogrids_3d) if no data are available regarding to these variables (in this latter case they should be set to -9999).

```
line 63
(DIM) drainage coeff.
```

Drainage coefficient is the amount of water (as a portion of SWC above field capacity) removed from the soil layer and moving downwards over a day (loss of water due to gravity). It is the function of the soil type. This value can be set by the User or can be estimated by the model based on empirical functions if no data are available regarding to this variable (in this case it should be set to -9999).

```
line 64
(cm/day) sat.hydr.cond.
```

Hydraulic conductivity is a property of the soil that describes the ease with which water can move through pore spaces or fractures. This value can be set by the User or can be estimated by the model internally based on empirical functions if no data are available regarding to this variable (in this case it should be set to -9999).

It is important to note that all or none of the user-defined soil properties (critical SWC, bulk density, drainage coefficient and hydraulic conductivity) should be set by the User in order to avoid discrepancy in soil data (runoff curve number data is independent from the rest).

3.5 Ecophysiological input file (EPC file)

This input file defines the ecophysiological characteristics of the vegetation type being simulated. It is kept separate from the initialization file and the soil properties file so that multiple initialization files can reference the same ecophysiology constants without editing the file. Researchers at NTSG have spent considerable effort summarizing a large number of ecophysiological studies from the literature to come up with a set of default parameterizations for a small number of highly aggregated vegetation classes (plant functional types; see White et al., 2000). Model Users should also discover the features of the popular TRY database (Kattge et al., 2011) for possible parameter ranges and values. If you have good measurements from your site(s) relating to any of these parameters, you should replace the defaults with your observations.

Users are cautioned that some of these parameters show strong covariance, so replacing some but not others with local observations may reduce the quality of results. For example, canopy average specific leaf area, leaf C:N, and fraction of leaf N in Rubisco tend to co-vary (and most importantly, these parameters define maximum photosynthesis capacity), so if you replace any of these default values you should consider replacing all of them. Consult with your local ecophysiologist if you aren't sure about reparameterization of the default *.epc files. Note that all *.epc files must have the same parameter lines, in the same order, but that not all lines are relevant to all vegetation types.

The following section describes each line of an EPC file, in the required order. The line number is followed by the units for the parameter in question and the short text description (some parameters are dimensionless, and these units are given as DIM). This is followed by a detailed description of the parameter(s) in question.

```
line 1
ECOPHYS (header) start of canopy ecophysiological constants block
```

This is a recommended header line for EPC file (the first line must contain some text).

```
lines 2-3
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
FLAGS
```

This is a dividing line and header to indicate the start of the FLAGS block.

```
line 4
(flag) biome type flag (1 = WOODY 0 = NON-WOODY)
```

An integer flag specifying the growth form (biome type), where 1 for woody includes both tree and shrub vegetation types, and 0 for non-woody includes grasses as well as other primarily herbaceous plants.

```
line 5
(flag) woody type flag (1 = EVERGREEN 0 = DECIDUOUS)
```

An integer flag specifying the leaf habit, where 1 for evergreen includes leaf habits that retain at least some of their foliage year-round, and 0 for deciduous includes leaf habits in which all foliage is absent at some point during a year. Either value for this flag can apply to both woody and non-woody growth forms.

```
line 6
(flag)        photosynthesis type flag (1 = C3 PSN 0 = C4 PSN)
```

An integer flag specifying the photosynthetic pathway, where 1 indicates that the C3 photosynthesis model should be invoked, and 0 indicates that the C4 model should be used. Although this flag can be set to 0 for any combination of the other parameters, use of the C4 model should be restricted to grasses and herbaceous plants. Note that in BBGCMuSo we implemented a new, enzyme-driven C4 photosynthesis routine in the photosynthesis module, based on the work of Di Vittorio et al. (2010).

```
lines 7-8
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
PLANT FUNCTIONING PARAMETERS
```

This is a dividing line and header to indicate the start the PLANT FUNCTIONING PARAMETERS block.

```
lines  9-10
(yday) yearday to start new growth (when phenology flag=0)
(yday) yearday to end litterfall (when phenology flag=0)
```

Two integers specifying the day of year for the start of new leaf growth, and the day of year for the end of the litterfall season, respectively. Relevant only when the phenology flag is 0 (see above line 1 in SIMULATION_CONTROL block of INI file). There are several important notes about setting these values. To suppress new leaf growth entirely, for example in the case of a simulation concerned with bare soil processes, set both of these values to -9999.
Yearday values for these parameters start at 0 and go to 364. Note that BBGCMuSo does not accept leap-years, i.e. all years are by definition 365 days long.
Northern and Southern hemisphere yeardays are treated differently for these parameters. In the northern hemisphere, yearday 0 is 1 January, while in the southern hemisphere yearday 0 is 1 July1. This allows the same yearday values to be used to specify deciduous growth habit in the northern and southern temperate zones.
If the leaf habit flag is set to evergreen (line 5 is set to1) or in case of crop simulation (planting and harvest is defined in management section – see below), the phenology model flag in the INI file is assumed to set to user-specified (0), so these values do not have any effect.

```
lines 11 and 12
(prop.) transfer growth period as fraction of growing season
(prop.) litterfall as fraction of growing season
```

These two parameters determine the duration of the transfer growth and litterfall periods, and are defined as proportions of the period between the start of new growth and the end of litterfall. It is important to keep in mind that if transferGDD flag (see above line 3 in SIMULATION_CONTROL block of INI file) is set to 1, allocation parameters are used to determine transfer period, which means that these parameters have no effect on the simulation! In other cases, these parameters must be set by the user regardless of whether internal model phenology or user-specified phenology is specified in the phenology model flag of the INI file. These parameters can take any values from 0.0 to 1.0, where a value of 0.0 indicates that all transfer growth or all litterfall occurs in a single day, and a value of 1.0 indicates that transfer growth or litterfall occur throughout the growing season. Transfer growth is the growth derived from carbon and nitrogen resources (i.e. non-structural carbohydrate) stored over the course of the previous growing season. It is the growth that

produces the first flush of new leaves in the spring for deciduous plants. NOTE that when the leaf habit flag is set for evergreen, both transfer growth and litterfall are assumed to occur at constant rates throughout the year, and the specification of these two parameters has no effect.

```
line 13
(Celsius) base temperature
```

This parameter sets the base temperature for plant processes. In general, base temperature is defined as the lowest air temperature where metabolic processes may result in a net substance gain in aboveground biomass (leaves or stem). (Note that the model does not simulate leaf temperature, only air and soil temperature are used in model calculations.) Base temperature is used to calculate a special growing season index (HSGSI; Hidy et al., 2016) to estimate the start and the end of the vegetation period (Hidy et al., 2012) and to calculate growing degree-day to estimate the phenological phases and genetically programmed senescence.

```
lines 14 and 17
(°C) minimum temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-depend.)
(°C) optimal1 temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-depend.)
(°C) optimal2 temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-depend.)
(°C) maximum temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-depend.)
```

These four parameters set specific thresholds to control air temperature dependency of allocation. The temperature dependence of allocation is controlled by a ramp function, with a plateau as optimum. Optimal1 temperature is the smaller temperature when the optimum is reached (optimum means that the function reaches 1). Optimal2 temperature is the highest temperature when the optimum ends and the function starts to decrease. Between minimum and optimal1 temperatures the proportion of growth displayed on current day increases, while between optimal2 and maximum temperature it decreases linearly from zero to 1 and from 1 to zero, respectively. Below minimum temperature (line 14) and above maximum temperature (line 17) the proportion of growth displayed on the current day is zero (all the daily production (i.e. assimilation) goes to the non-structural plant pool, which is represented by the storage pool in the model; for details about changes in the non-structural carbohydrate pool simulation logic see Theoretical basis of BBGCMuSo v6.1; in prep.). Note that all or none of the four parameters driving temperature dependency for growth should be set by the User. Also note that temperature dependence for allocation is an experimental feature of the model, and should not be used in typical simulations. Setting all four parameters to -9999 disables the temperature dependency of allocation which means that the original model logic is used.

```
lines 18 and 21
(°C) min. temperature for C-assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limit)
(°C) opt1 temperature for C-assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limit)
(°C) opt2 temperature for C-assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limit)
(°C) max. temperature for C-assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limit)
```

These four parameters set optional thresholds on the temperature dependency of carbon assimilation (photosynthesis). If these thresholds are set, the temperature dependence of C-assimilation is controlled by a ramp function, with a plateau as optimum. Optimal1 temperature is the smaller temperature when the optimum is reached (equals 1). Optimal2 temperature is the highest temperature when the optimum ends and starts to decrease from 1 to 0. Between minimum and optimal1 temperatures the limitation of carbon assimilation on current day increases, between optimal2 and maximum temperature it decreases linearly from zero to 1 and from 1 to zero, respectively. Below minimum temperature and above maximum temperature thresholds set by the parameters the carbon assimilation is fully downregulated by the model, so the daily gross primary production is zero on the given day. Note that all or

none of the temperature dependency parameters should be set by the User. Setting all four parameters to -9999 disables this additional temperature dependency of assimilation which means that the original model logic is used. Note that the Farquhar photosynthesis routine has inherent temperature dependency in the original model. These four parameters were introduced to set further temperature control on assimilation, to simulate the observed downregulation of photosynthesis at high temperatures for some biomes. We propose that first the high temperature effect should be tested by the User (minimum temperature and optimum1 should be set to e.g. zero in this case). The low temperature related downregulation is just a possibility that might not be used at all.

line 22
(1/yr) annual leaf and fine root turnover fraction

Determines leaf and fine root turnover for evergreen plants. This is the fraction of the annual maximum leaf and fine root mass that will be dropped in the following year as litter. It is the reciprocal of the leaf longevity, so a plant that retains its leaves an average of two years would have a leaf/fine root turnover of 0.5. Note that leaf and fine root phenology are assumed to be entirely synchronized for all vegetation types. Also note that when the leaf habit is specified as deciduous, this parameter is always assumed to be 1.0, and will be reset inside the code if the User specifies any other value.

line 23
(1/yr) annual live wood turnover fraction

Determines livewood turnover to deadwood for all woody types (deciduous and evergreen). Important note about the definition of livewood and deadwood in BBGCMuSo: livewood is defined as the actively respiring woody tissue, that is, the lateral sheathing meristem of phloem tissue, plus any ray parenchyma extending radially into the xylem tissue. Deadwood consists of all the other woody material, including the heartwood, the xylem, and the bark. It has been common in many tree models, including previous versions of Biome-BGC, to divide the woody tissue into two compartments called "sapwood" and "heartwood", where sapwood is usually defined as the sum of phloem and xylem, with heartwood defined as the non-conducting woody tissue. The current treatment ignores the distinction between water-conducting xylem and non-conducting heartwood.
For herbaceous vegetation the wood related parameters does not affect the simulation.

line 24
(1/yr) annual fire mortality fraction

This parameter specifies the fraction of plant pools subject to fire, on average, each year. The current treatment ignores the timing of individual fire events, taking a long-term view of the fire process, in which some fraction of the community is subject to fire each year, at a rate commensurate with the long-term fire frequency. For example, in a system with a stand-replacing fire return interval of 100 years, this parameter would be set to 0.01.

line 25
(1/year) annual whole-plant mortality fraction

This parameter specifies the fraction of all plant pools that will be removed and sent to the litter compartments over the course of the year. In case of woodlands, this is one mechanism by which woody material (live and dead) leaves the plant pool and enters the litter pools to be made available for subsequent decomposition. It is the conceptual equivalent of wind-throw (but not exclusively, as diseases, competitive processes in early development phase or frost damage can also cause mortality of plants), and all plant pools, living and dead, are attenuated

at the same rate. This proportion is related to the whole year, and whole-plant mortality is assumed to go on at a constant rate throughout the year. (Note that in BBGCMuSo 6.0 the whole plant mortality parameter was related only to the vegetation period). BBGCMuSo has other mechanism for plant mortality, not present in previous versions of Biome-BGC. For example, drought related leaf senescence acts together with annual whole-plant mortality, if applicable. Also, genetically programmed leaf senescence (which is another option in BBGCMuSo) can also cause leaf mortality besides this daily fixed plant mortality. In BBGCMuSo annually varying whole-plant mortality fraction can also be set by providing an external file (see later in this manual). In this case the external data overrides this setting in the EPC file.

```
line 26
(kgC/kgN) C:N of leaves
```

Mass ratio of carbon:nitrogen in live leaves. Note that this is one of the most important parameters of the model, so its value should be set by the User with caution. Consider using parameter optimization procedure (like the RBBGCMuSo R package developed by our group) to set this parameter properly.

```
line 27
(kgC/kgN) C:N of leaf litter, after retranslocation
```

Mass ratio of carbon:nitrogen in freshly fallen leaf litter. This can only be higher than or equal to the C:N for live leaves, i.e. retranslocation can only be positive or zero. Retranslocation is the removal of nitrogen from the leaves prior to litterfall. The model does not consider the possibility of retranslocation of carbon out of leaves prior to litterfall.

```
line 28
(kgC/kgN) C:N of fine roots
```

Mass ratio of carbon:nitrogen in fine roots. The model assumes that there is no retranslocation of nitrogen out of fine roots prior to litterfall, so this is the only C:N parameter for fine roots. This should be equal or greater than the C:N for leaf.

```
line 29
(kgC/kgN) C:N of fruit
```

Mass ratio of carbon:nitrogen in fruit. The model assumes that there is no retranslocation of nitrogen out of fruit prior to litterfall. Fruit C:N ratio should be equal or greater than the C:N for leaf.

```
line 30
(kgC/kgN) C:N of soft stem
```

Mass ratio of carbon:nitrogen in soft stem. The model assumes that there is no retranslocation of nitrogen out of soft stem prior to litterfall, so this is the only C:N parameter for soft stem. This should be equal or greater than the C:N for leaf. Soft stem is not present for woody vegetation.

```
line 31
(kgC/kgN) C:N of live wood
```

Mass ratio of carbon:nitrogen for live wood (phloem and ray parenchyma). This will typically be a much smaller value than the average C:N for all woody parts, and is typically found to be

close to that for fine roots. As we noted, in case of herbaceous vegetation the wood related parameters does not affect the simulation.

```
line 32
(kgC/kgN) C:N of dead wood
```

Mass ratio of carbon:nitrogen for dead wood (bark, xylem, heartwood). This should be equal or greater than the C:N for live wood.

```
lines 33-39
0.4    (kgC/kgDM)    dry matter carbon content of leaves
0.4    (kgC/kgDM)    dry matter carbon content of leaf litter
0.4    (kgC/kgDM)    dry matter carbon content of fine roots
0.4    (kgC/kgDM)    dry matter carbon content of fruit
0.4    (kgC/kgDM)    dry matter carbon content of soft stem
0.4    (kgC/kgDM)    dry matter carbon content of live wood
0.4    (kgC/kgDM)    dry matter carbon content of dead wood
```

One of the new features of BBGCMuSo v6 is that the User can calculate the amount of plant parts from output variables in kg dry matter m^{-2} units (beside kg C m^{-2}). The ratios required for the carbon-dry matter conversion are defined by these parameters.

```
lines 40-41
(DIM) leaf litter labile proportion
(DIM) leaf litter cellulose proportion
```

The proportion of leaf litter mass in the labile fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in hot water/alcohol. The proportion of leaf litter mass in the cellulose fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in a mild acid solution, after extraction of the water/alcohol soluble fraction. As labile, cellulose, and lignin fractions for leaf litter must sum to 1.0, lignin proportion is calculated by the model as 1-labile-cellulose (defined in lines 45 and 46, respectively).

```
lines 42-43
(DIM) fine root labile proportion
(DIM) fine root cellulose proportion
```

The proportion of fine root mass in the labile fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in hot water/alcohol. The proportion of fine root mass in the cellulose fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in a mild acid solution, after extraction of the water/alcohol soluble fraction. As labile, cellulose, and lignin fractions for fine root must sum to 1.0, lignin proportion is calculated by the model as 1-labile-cellulose.

```
lines 44-45
(DIM) fruit labile proportion
(DIM) fruit cellulose proportion
```

The proportion of fruit mass in the labile fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in hot water/alcohol. The proportion of fruit mass in the cellulose fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in a mild acid solution, after extraction of the water/alcohol soluble fraction. As labile, cellulose, and lignin fractions for fruit must sum to 1.0, lignin proportion is calculated by the model as 1-labile-cellulose.

```
lines 46-47
(DIM) soft stem labile proportion
(DIM) soft stem cellulose proportion
```

The proportion of soft stem mass in the labile fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in hot water/alcohol. The proportion of soft stem mass in the cellulose fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in a mild acid solution, after extraction of the water/alcohol soluble fraction. As labile, cellulose, and lignin fractions for soft stem must sum to 1.0, lignin proportion is calculated by the model as 1-labile-cellulose.

```
line 48
(DIM) dead wood cellulose proportion
```

The proportion of dead wood mass in the cellulose fraction, usually defined as that fraction soluble in a mild acid solution, after extraction of the water/alcohol soluble fraction. As cellulose and lignin fractions for dead wood must sum to 1.0, lignin proportion is calculated by the model as 1-cellulose.

```
line 49
(1/LAI/d) canopy water interception coefficient
```

The proportion of daily rainfall that can be intercepted and retained on the canopy per unit of projected leaf area index.

```
line 50
(DIM) canopy light extinction coefficient
```

The Beer's law extinction coefficient for attenuation of radiation in the canopy, using a projected leaf area basis.

```
line 51
(g/MJ) potential radiation use efficiency
```

The potential radiation use efficiency represents the physiologically potential above ground biomass production per unit of light intercepted by the crop canopy. This parameter is only used if photosynthesis calculation method (line 11 in the SIMULATION_CONTROL section of INI file) is set to 1 (DSSAT-method).

```
line 52-53
(DIM) radiation parameter1
(DIM) radiation parameter2
```

These are empirical parameters of radiation calculation method (only used if line 13 in the SIMULATION_CONTROL section of INI file is set to 1, which means the application of the net radiation calculation – for details see Jiang et al., 2015).

```
line 54
(DIM) all-sided to projected leaf area ratio
```

The ratio between the all-sided area and the projected area for leaves. Projected area for this and all other uses in BBGCMuSo is the projected area of the leaf laid flat with its two longest dimensions parallel to the measurement surface, while all-sided area is the total leaf surface area. White et al. (2000) suggest that this parameter should be set to 2 for C3 and C4 grasslands and deciduous broadleaf forests.

```
line 55
(DIM) ratio of shaded SLA:sunlit SLA
```

Ratio between specific leaf area for leaves in the shaded canopy fraction and specific leaf area for leaves in the sunlit canopy fraction. White et al. (2000) suggest that this parameter should be set to 2.

```
line 56
(DIM) fraction of leaf N in Rubisco
```

The fraction of total live leaf nitrogen occurring in the RuBisCO enzyme. This is an extremely important parameter of the model as V_{cmax} (maximum rate of carboxylation) is calculated from this parameter also using specific leaf area (SLA) and C:N of leaves. SLA is set at the end of the EPC file.

```
line 57
(DIM) fraction of leaf N PEP Carboxylase
```

The fraction of total live leaf nitrogen occurring in the PEP Carboxylase enzyme (Di Vittorio et al., 2010). This parameter is only applicable in case of C4 photosynthetic pathway (see line 6 in the EPC file).

```
line 58
(m/s) maximum stomatal conductance (projected area basis)
```

The maximum stomatal conductance to water vapor, expressed on a projected leaf area basis. This is the conductance under saturating light, low VPD, leaf water potential near 0, and moderate temperatures. Reciprocal of minimum stomatal resistance. Note that BBGCMuSo uses the Jarvis multiplicative stomatal regulation method (Jarvis, 1976). Also note that by setting the CO2 reduction flag to 1 (line 7 in SIMULATION_CONTROL block of INI file) this value might be subject to change during the model run, and in that case this value refers to ~ end of the 20th century/beginning of the 21st century conditions (~present day). If this is the case, the maximum stomatal conductance to water vapor and also to CO_2 are varying according to ambient CO_2 concentration (Franks et al., 2013). In elevated CO_2 concentration this modification will cause down-regulation of maximum stomatal conductance thus it might improve water use efficiency of the biome (see Hidy et al., 2016 for details).

```
line 59
(m/s) cuticular conductance (projected area basis)
```

The conductance of the leaf cuticle to water vapor, expressed on a projected area basis. Assumed constant under all environmental conditions.

```
line 60
(m/s) boundary layer conductance (projected area basis)
```

Leaf boundary layer conductance to water vapor, expressed on a projected area basis. This is also referred to as the aerodynamic conductance. It is defined as the conductance for water vapor entering the atmosphere from a free water surface on the leaf surface (a raindrop on the leaf). It is assumed constant under all environmental conditions, although it is in reality a strong function of wind speed. A constant wind speed of 1 m/s is assumed in defining values of this parameter for various leaf morphologies.

```
line 61
(m)    maximum height of plant
```

A new feature of Biome-BGCMuSo 6 is the option to simulate plant height. For herbaceous vegetation the height of the plant is simulated based on empirical functions of the DSSAT

model using the carbon content of the softstem. For woody ecosystems the model uses empirical functions from the CLM 4.5 model based on the carbon content of livestem and deadstem. For these calculations maximum possible plant height has to be provided by the User.

```
lines 62-63
(kgC/m2)  stem weight corresponding to maximum height
(DIM)     plant height function shape parameter (slope)
```

Beside the carbon content of livestem and deadstem and the maximum possible plant height (see above in line 61), the critical stem weight (corresponding to maximum height) and the slope of the plant height function are used in the empirical estimation of the plant height.

```
line 64
(m) maximum depth of rooting zone
```

This parameter sets the maximum rooting depth for the biome. Timing of root growth is controlled by allocation parameters. The actual length of the root is simulated based on empirical function in case of non-woody biomes (grass: method of Campbell and Diaz (1988); crops: empirical function of the 4M model). In case of forests, fine root growth is assumed to be present in the entire root zone represented by coarse roots. In case of forest this depth does not change with time which is a limitation of the model. If the rooting depth of the simulated biome defined in this line is greater than the depth of the soil (line 42 in SOIL file), the rooting depth is limited by the depth of the soil. It means that the maximal rooting depth cannot be greater than the soil depth.

```
line 65
(DIM)  root distribution parameter
```

Empirical exponential root distribution parameter (where the shape of the exponential root profile is controlled by this single scalar) to calculate the distribution of roots within the soil layers (Jarvis, 1989). The proposed value is 3.67.

```
lines 66 and 77
(kgC/m2) root weight corresponding to max root depth
(DIM)    root depth function shape parameter (slope)
```

Beside the carbon content of root and the maximum possible rooting depth (see above in line 64), these two parameters are used in the empirical rooting depth calculation of plants (based on the method of 4M model).

```
line 68
(m/kg)        root weight to root length conversion factor
```
This parameter is a conversion factor to calculate root length from root weight in order to calculate water and nutrient uptake potential. NOTE THAT THIS PARAMETER HAS NO EFFECT ON THE SIMULATION IN BIOME-BGCMUSO V6.1 (to be implemented in forthcoming model versions).

```
line 69
(DIM) growth respiration per unit of C gain
```

This parameter controls the growth respiration cost per unit of carbon growth (GPP). In the original model this parameter was fixed within the source code. The proposed value of the parameter is 0.3. Some studies suggest that this parameter might deviate from 0.3, and it is important to properly set the adequate value of this parameter.

```
line 70
(kgC/kgN/d) maintenance respiration in kgC/day per kg of tissue N
```

This parameter defines the maintenance respiration in kg C per kg of tissue N per day. The proposed value is 0.218.

```
lines 71 and 72
(DIM) theoretical maximum prop. of non-structural and structural carbohydrates
(DIM) prop. of non-structural carbohydrates available for maintenance respiration
```

In the model it is assumed that plants try to maintain a minimum level of non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) concentration that is needed for long term survival (after Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016). It means that NSC pool is handled in such a way that NSC level is kept above a given fraction of the theoretical maximum of NSC pool where the latter is estimated as a fixed fraction of the actual structural carbon pool. For details about non-structural pool simulation see Theoretical basis of MuSo 6 (in prep.).

```
line 73
(kgN/m2/yr) symbiotic+asymbiotic fixation of N
```

This parameter defines the annual rate of symbiotic + asymbiotic nitrogen fixation for the plant in kgN m^{-2} yr^{-1}. In previous Biome-BGC versions this parameter was defined within the SITE section of the INI file. Due to the complexity associated with the definition of this parameter we moved it to the EPC file so the User might want to adjust its value through model optimization (calibration). Total external N input to the ecosystem is the sum of symbiotic + asymbiotic nitrogen fixation and the reactive N deposition from the atmosphere where the latter is defined within the INI file (see above).

```
line 74
(day)  time delay for temperature in photosynthesis acclimation
```

In BBGCMuSo v6 photosynthetic acclimation to temperature change is simulated based on the method of Dyukarev (2017). This parameter describes the time delay in days that is used to modify photosynthesis acclimation state. If this is set to zero than acclimation method is not used.

```
lines 75-76
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
CROP SPECIFIC PARAMETERS
```

This is a dividing line and header used by the code to interpret the start of a block of crop specific parameters. One of the new features of Biome-BGCMuSo v6.1 is the possibility to simulate crop development and yield similarly to mechanistic crop models. One of the core elements of crop simulations is the explicit handling of crop phenophases (see below). In this section crop specific parameters can be set where some of them are related to the phenophases that are defined later in the EPC file, in the PHENOLOGICAL (ALLOCATION) PARAMETERS block. Note that in case of crop related simulations planting and harvest is supposed to be set by the User in the external management file. In this case the growing season starts with sowing, and ends with the harvest. Note that in non-cropland simulations these CROP SPECIFIC PARAMETERS are usually not used. This can be achieved easily by setting some of the lines of this block to -9999 or 0. Appendix B contains an example EPC file where the crop specific parameters are completely switched off.

```
lines 77 and 78
```

```
(DIM) number of phenophase of germination (from 1 to 7; 0: NO specific)
(DIM) number of phenophase of emergence (from 1 to 7; 0: NO specific)
```

The interval of germination is the transfer period when carbon and nitrogen content of the transfer pools (equivalent with the planted seeds) goes into actual carbon and nitrogen pools. The interval of emergence is the time period when the aboveground biomass emerges. These two intervals are defined by the number of phenophases that are associated with the processes (see line 126 for the definition of the phenophases). The number of the corresponding phenological phases can be set by the User, and it can range from 1 to 7. 0 means that these periods are not specified. In this latter case transfer period is defined by line 11.

```
line 79
(prop.) critical SWCratio (proportion to FC-WP) in germination
```

The only limitation factor for germination (beside the critical heatsum set by phenological parameters) is the actual soil water content of the soil layer where germination happens. The critical soil water content below which the germination is hampered (i.e. it is slower) can be set by this critical relative soil water content ratio parameter (i.e. actual soil water content divided by the difference between field capacity and wilting point). To disable this feature set this parameter to zero which means that SWC in the germination layer will not affect the speed of germination.

```
line 80
(DIM) number of phenophases of photoperiodic effect (from 1 to 7; -9999: NO effect)
```

Photoperiodic effect means that the length of the daylight period (from sunrise to sunset) can limit the development of the plant. If we assume photoperiodic effect in a given phenophase, the growing degree calculation is modified using photoperiodic development rate (multiplier used in the heatsum calculation). The number of the phenophases of photoperiodic effect can vary from 1 to 7. If no photoperiodic effect is assumed, the User can set this parameter to -9999.

```
line 81
(hour) critical daylength for photoperiodic effect
```

If the User assumes photoperiodic effect on plant development (line 80 is set and its value ranges from 1 to 7), the critical daylength for the photoperiodic calculation is defined by this parameter (defined in hours unit).

```
line 82
(DIM) slope of relative photoperiodic effect development rate
```

If the User assumes photoperiodic effect on plant development (line 80 is set and its value ranges from 1 to 7), the slope of the photoperiodic development rate is defined by this parameter. In other words, this parameter defines the strength of the photoperiodic effect.

```
line 83
(DIM) number of phenophases of vernalization (from 1 to 7; -9999: NO)
```

Many plants in temperate climates must experience a period of low (but not too low) winter air temperature (required for vernalization) to support the flowering process. This ensures that reproductive development and seed production occurs in spring and in summer. The vernalization calculation is based on vernalization development progress (VDP), which can vary between 0 and 1. The number of the phenophases of vernalization can vary from 1 to 7. If no vernalization is assumed, the User should set this parameter to -9999. If vernalization is

delayed it causes shift in the start of the next phenophases and therefore in the whole plant development.

```
lines 84-87
(Celsius) critical vernalization temperature 1
(Celsius) critical vernalization temperature 2
(Celsius) critical vernalization temperature 3
(Celsius) critical vernalization temperature 4
```

Vernalization development progress (VDP) is based on the relative vernalization effectiveness, which is the function of the critical vernalization temperatures: minimum (1), optimal1 (2), optimal2 (3) and maximum (4). Below minimum and above maximum vernalization temperature vernalization development rate is zero. Between optimal temperatures vernalization development rate is 1. Between minimum and optional1 the VDP increases linearly. Between optional2 and maximum temperature the VDP decreases linearly. In the vernalization phenological stage the model cumulating the daily VDP values.

```
line 88
(DIM) slope of relative vernalization development progress
```

The vernalization development status (VDS) is calculated by multiplying the cumulative VDP value with the slope of the relative VDP that is defined in line 88. If vernalization is disabled, this parameter has no effect.

```
line 89
(n) required vernalization days (in vernalization development rate)
```

This parameter sets the number of days needed for vernalization. The simulated plant stays in the vernalization stage until the vernalization development status (VDS) reaches the required number of vernalization days.

```
line 90
(DIM) number of flowering heat stress phenophases (from 1 to 7; -9999: NO effect)
```

Many plants in temperate climates are sensitive to heat stress during flowering (in other words anthesis). During flowering heat stress can affect pollination, which is considered by decreasing the amount of carbon and nitrogen content of fruit (i.e. yield). The number of the phenophases when heat stress can affect flowering can vary from 1 to 7. If no heat stress effect on flowering is assumed, the User can set this parameter to -9999.

```
lines 91-92
(Celsius) critical flowering heat stress temperature 1
(Celsius) critical flowering heat stress temperature 2
```

The calculation of heat stress effect on flowering is based on predefined critical air temperatures. Below critical temperature 1 the multiplier of heat stress parameter (defined in line 93) is zero, above critical temperature 2 it is 1; between critical temperatures the effect increases linearly. Set these parameters to very high values to disable the feature.

```
line 93
(prop.) theoretical maximum of flowering heat stress mortality parameter
```

The flowering heat stress calculation method is based on the mortality parameter which is the result of multiplication of maximum flowering heat stress mortality parameter (defined in line 93) and a multiplier (based on critical temperatures, defined in lines 91-92).

```
lines 94 and 95
```

42

```
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
STRESS AND SENESCENCE PARAMETERS
```

This is a dividing line and header used by the model to interpret the start of a block of stress and senescence and parameters.

```
line 96
(prop) SWC ratio (prop. to FC-WP) to calculate soil moisture limit 1
```

This parameter defines the critical soil water content ratio (i.e. actual soil water content divided by the difference between field capacity and wilting point) where drought related soil moisture limitation starts to affect plant processes. If actual SWC is larger than critical SWC calculated from this parameter, then soil moisture does not affect stomatal conductance, evapotranspiration and root water uptake. Linear ramp function is defined between this critical value (where limitation starts) and wilting point (complete limitation). Note that saturation, field capacity and wilting point can be given within the soil properties file by the User, or alternatively they can be estimated from soil texture using empirical relationship (by setting their value to -9999 in the SOIL COMPOSITION AND CHARACTERISTIC VALUES section of the SOIL file in lines 52-55). If there is no available data for this parameter, the User should set it to 1 (in this case moisture limitation starts at field capacity and ends at wilting point, which means that there is no water stress above field capacity and there is full water stress below wilting point. Note that another stress is defined close to saturation which is controlled by line 97, so here we mean stress caused by soil moisture deficit). Note that (unlike original Biome-BGC and previous versions of BBGCMuSo) in BBGCMuSo6 it is not possible to use soil water potential to calculate this limitation factor. See Theoretical basis for details on drought related plant mortality which might use this parameter.

```
line 97
(DIM) SWC ratio to calc. soil moisture limit 2 (prop. to SAT-FC)
```

This parameter defines the critical soil water content ratio (i.e. actual soil water content divided by the difference between saturation and field capacity) where elevated soil moisture content starts to affect stomatal conductance and decomposition, thus acts as limitation factor. The idea behind introducing this parameter is that presence of elevated groundwater or a wet, rainy period can negatively affect stomatal conductance and decomposition. If actual SWC is smaller than critical SWC calculated from this parameter then soil moisture does not affect stomatal conductance (at least due to elevated soil moisture), evapotranspiration, decomposition, and root water uptake. Linear ramp function is defined between this critical value (limitation starts) and saturation (complete limitation). If no estimation is available, set this parameter to 1 (in this case the parameter will be saturation, which means that no stress will occur below saturation. Note that in this case drought related stress can still be active, as defined by line 96. Note that (unlike original Biome-BGC and previous versions of BBGCMuSo) in BBGCMuSo6 it is not possible to use soil water potential to calculate this limitation factor. See Theoretical basis for details on anoxic plant mortality which might use this parameter.

```
line 98
(prop) minimum of SWC ratio to calc. soil moisture limit 2 parameter (full anoxic
stress value)
```

This parameter defines the minimum of the soil moisture limit2 parameter (defined in line 97) when the anoxic stress values reaches their maximum value. See Theoretical basis for details on anoxic plant mortality which might use this parameter.

```
lines 99-100
(Pa) vapor pressure deficit: start of conductance reduction
(Pa) vapor pressure deficit: complete conductance reduction
```

These two parameters set the endpoints for a linear control on stomatal conductance due to the water vapor pressure difference (VPD) between the interior of the leaf and the air adjacent to the leaf. The first parameter sets the VPD at which conductance reduction begins, and the second parameter sets the VPD at which stomatal conductance is reduced to 0. In the range between these values, reduction of stomatal conductance is a linear function of VPD.

```
lines 101-103
(prop.) maximum senescence mortality coefficient of aboveground plant material
(prop.) maximum senescence mortality coefficient of belowground plant material
(prop.) maximum senescence mortality coefficient of non-structured plant material
```

Soil moisture stress related mortality coefficents control the extent of plant senescence, namely the fraction of non-woody plant material (carbon and nitrogen) that dies during one day due to long lasting drought. User can define different mortality coefficients regarding aboveground biomass (leaves and in case of herbaceous vegetation soft stem also), belowground biomass (fine root) and non-structural carbohydrate pools (transfer and strorage pools). Line 103 might be important to simulate the carry-over effect of drought stress during the consecutive year as drought might also affect the storage/transfer pools. The fraction of wilted biomass (aboveground/belowground/non-structural) is calculated from this parameter, but this value (the maximum possible value of mortality coefficient) is modified to take into account the soil moisture stress coefficient (which is the function of the severity and the length of the drought). For details about senescence mortality see Hidy et al. 2021 (in prep.).A realistic value for this parameter is 0.005, which means that maximum 5‰ of the actual carbon and nitrogen pool is lost during one day due to the drought stress (in case of total stress). The User might want to adjust this parameter. The 0.005 value was set based on experiences on drought prone grassland in the Hungarian Great Plain.

```
lines 104-105
(Celsius)  lower limit extreme high temperature effect on senescence mortality
(Celsius)  upper limit extreme high temperature effect on senescence mortality
```

These parameters determine the critical air temperatures of extreme high temperature effect on plant mortality. In case of senescence mortality parameters the fraction of wilted biomass is calculated from senescence mortality coefficient, which is the function of drought and the effect of extreme air temperature. Line 104 contains the lower limit, below which the extreme temperature effect is zero and line 105 contains the upper limit above which the extreme temperature effect is equal to the maximal value. Between lower and upper limit the coefficient of extreme temperature effect increases linearly from zero to the maximal value. The default values are 30 and 40 Celsius, respectively. For details about senescence mortality see Hidy et al. 2021 (in prep.).

```
line 106
(prop.)  turnover rate of wilted standing biomass to litter
```

Turnover rate of standing dead biomass (senescenced leaves) to litter. The proposed value is 0.05 which means that 5% of the standing dead biomass turns into the litter pool during one day. This parameter is introduced to enable more realistic simulation of dead leaves behaviour which can eventually stay intact for a longer time period before they touch the ground so that decomposition can start. The concept of standing dead biomass was introduced in

BBGCMuSo 4.0 (see Hidy et al. 2016), and it was not present in the predecessor Biome-BGC versions.

```
lines 107-108
(prop.)  turnover rate of non-woody cut-down biomass to litter
(prop.)  turnover rate of woody cut-down biomass to litter
```

Turnover rate of cut-down (but not removed) non-woody (line 107) and woody (line 108) biomass to litter. The proposed values are 0.05 and 0.01. Non-woody parameter (line 107) controls the speed of transformation of harvested plant residues in croplands or clipped grass leaves in case of mown grasslands to litter. In case of forests this parameter controls the fate of (previously living) leaves on cut down trees (if thinning option is switched on). Woody parameter (line 108) controls the turnover of dead coarse root (stump) into coarse woody debris (cwd). Implementation of this turnover process was necessary to avoid C and N balance errors caused by large fluxes between specific pools.

```
line 109
(prop.)  drought tolerance parameter (critical value of DSWS)
```

As it is described above, in case of senescence mortality parameters the fraction of wilted biomass is calculated from senescence mortality coefficient, which is the function of the severity (soil water content) and the longevity (days since water stress occurs) of the drought and the effect of extreme air temperature. The drought tolerance parameter defines the critical number of drought related water stress days after which water stress is complete (it causes maximal plant mortality – set in lines 101-103 in the EPC file). We propose to use 30 days for grasslands based on experiences gained in drought-prone grasslands in Central Europe. For forests a higher value might be more realistic. The User might revise this parameter in other, drought-prone ecosystems. See Hidy et al. (2021) for details on drought related plant mortality simulation.

```
line 110
(dimless) effect of soilstress factor on photosynthesis (1: full, 0: no effect)
```

In previous BBGCMuSo versions soil water stress did not affect photosynthesis directly, only via stomatal conductance. Starting from BBGCMuSo 6.1 we introduced option for non-stomatal SWC stress effect on photosyntheis with the modified photosynthesis stress simulation. According to the new feature soil water stress can down-regulate the carbon assimilation independent of stomatal aperture. Note that up to version 6.1 of BBGCMuSo C4 photosynthesis was NOT affected by stomatal aperture art all. This new feature enables an alternative control on photosynthesis in C3 and C4 plants as well (in case of C3 plants stomatal and non-stomatal effect can act parallel).

```
lines 111-112
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
GROWING SEASON PARAMETERS
```

This is a dividing line and header used by the model to interpret the start of the block of growing season control parameters.

BBGCMuSo-specific growing season index (details see in Hidy et al., 2012 and Hidy et al., 2016) is calculated based on the combination of daily minimum air temperature (TMIN), vapor pressure deficit (VPD), daylength and n-day (n is defined in line 121) heatsum. Heatsum is calculated as the sum of mean daily temperatures minus base temperature (defined in line 13) for each n-days long period (Hidy et al., 2012). The effect of the different

environmental variables is considered using indices (indexTmin, indexVPD, indexDAYLENGTH, indexHTSM). To calculate index for each variable we set threshold limits, similarly to the method of Jolly et al. (2005) within which the relative phenological performance of the vegetation is assumed to vary from inactive (0) to unconstrained (1). The values of the limits regarding to the different variables can be set by the parameters below.

```
line 113
(kg/m2)        critical amount of snow limiting photosynthesis
```

Beside HSGSI the start of the vegetation period is affected by the presence of snow. Above a critical amount of snow (defined by this parameter) the photosynthesis is limited.

```
lines 114-115
(Celsius)      limit1 (under:full constrained) of HEATSUM index
(Celsius)      limit2 (above:unconstrained) of HEATSUM index
```

The threshold limits of HEATSUM: limit1 – below which the index of HEATSUM is zero; limit2 – above which the index of HEATSUM is 1 (between limit1 and limit2 it increases linearly).

```
lines 116-117
(Celsius)      limit1 (under: fully constrained) of TMIN index
(Celsius)      limit2 (above: unconstrained) of TMIN index
```

The threshold limits of TMIN: limit1 – below which the index of TMIN is zero; limit2 – above which the index of TMIN is 1 (between limit1 and limit2 it increases linearly).

```
lines 118-119
(Pa) limit1 (above: fully constrained) of VPD index
(Pa) limit2 (under: unconstrained) of VPD index
```

The threshold limits of VPD: limit1 – above which the index of VPD is zero; limit2 – below which the index of VPD is 1 (between limit1 and limit2 it decreases linearly).

```
lines 120-121
(s) limit1 (under:full constrained) of DAYLENGTH index
(s) limit2 (above:unconstrained) of DAYLENGTH index
```

The threshold limits of DAYLENGTH (in other words photoperiod): limit1 – below which the index of DAYLENGTH is zero; limit2 – above which the index of DAYLENGTH is 1 (between limit1 and limit2 it increases linearly).

```
line 122
(day) window length for smoothing (to avoid the effects of extreme events)
```

In order to avoid the effects of extreme events in HSGSI calculation the n-day (n is defined by this parameters) moving average of heatsum is used.

```
lines 123-124
(dimless) HSGSI limit1 (greater that limit -> start of vegper)
(dimless) HSGSI limit2 (less that limit -> end of vegper)
```

If on a given day HSGSI is greater than a limit1 we assume that the start of the growing season is found. After finding the start of the growing season its last day is searched, and if on a given day (after start of the growing season) HSGSI is less than limit2 we assume that the end of the growing season is reached. For details see Theoretical basis.

```
lines 125-126
```

```
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
PHENOLOGICAL (ALLOCATION) PARAMETERS (7 phenological phases)
```

This is a dividing line and header used by the model to interpret the start of a block of phenological parameters. Note that in this block of the EPC file the User should define seven values for the different phenological phases in one line.

```
line 127
(text) name of the phenophase
```

In this line User can define up to 7 different phenological phases with different names for easier identification.

```
line 128
(Celsius) length of phenophase (GDD)
```

In this line the User can set the length of the seven phenophases based on growing degree days (GDD) logic in the different phenophases. Note that GDD is the function of the base temperature parameter.

```
lines 129-136
(ratio) leaf ALLOCATION
(ratio) fine root ALLOCATION
(ratio) fruit ALLOCATION
(ratio) soft stem ALLOCATION
(ratio) live woody stem ALLOCATION
(ratio) dead woody stem ALLOCATION
(ratio) live coarse root ALLOCATION
(ratio) dead coarse root ALLOCATION
```

In these lines the User can set the allocation ratio of leaf, fine root, fruit (e.g. crop yield), soft stem, live stem, dead stem, live coarse root and dead coarse root for each phenophase. These are constant values in a given phenological phase but the User can define different values regarding to the 7 phenological phase. Soft stem is applicable to herbaceous ecosystems only, while woody parameters are only applicable to woody ecosystems. Note that the sum of allocation parameters has to equal 1 in every phenological phases. If the User wants to use the original model logic, all 7 parameters per line can be set to the same value which means static allocation. Alternative solution is to use only one very long phenophase defined in line 127 and use only the first allocation column.

```
line 137
(m2/kgC) canopy average SLA
```

Projected area per unit of leaf carbon mass, averaged over the canopy during the different phenophases. The option to provide varying SLA is a novel feature in BBGCMuSo 6.1. Note that SLA is a very important parameter of the model, so selection of SLA is critical. Consider using parameter optimization of these parameters together with C:N content of leaf and fraction of leaf N in Rubisco parameters as they jointly determine the most important photosynthesis parameters. Note that if the User wants to use a single SLA (which is independent of the phenophases) all 7 values should be set to the same value. This means backward compatibility with earlier Biome-BGC and BBGMuSo versions.

```
line 138
(prop.) current growth proportion
```

These parameters set the proportion of daily production that is displayed immediately as new growth, with the remainder stored for the next year's transfer growth. If the new growth in the next year is not possible due to e.g. crop harvest in autumn, this parameter can be set to zero.

47

In this case all photosynthate will be allocated to new growth, and plant growth in the consecutive year will be suppressed until sowing. Note that some mechanism is implemented in BBGCMuSo that can override this parameter (see lines 14-17).

```
line 139
(°Cd) maximum lifetime of plant tissue (-9999: no gen. programmed senescence)
```

Genetically programmed mortality causes the senescence of leaf plant material based on the age of the plant tissue in leaves (in contrast to the soil water content related senescence that is handled by lines 96-97 and lines 101-103). Maximum lifetime of plant tissue defines the age of the plant after which it is wilted. This parameter defines the maximum lifetime in accumulated GDD (Celsius). For details about genetically programmed senescence mortality see Theoretical basis of MuSo 6 (in prep.).

Note that in the original Biome-BGC model there were only 43 EPC parameters. BBGCMuSo 6 has a much higher number of EPC parameters, which means a major change. We are fully aware that high number of adjustable parameters might complicate calibration and application of the model. However, our intention was to extend the EPC file with some of the parameters that were „burned in" within the source code but might need adjustment. Implementation of the new, multilayer soil module and fruit also required the definition of new EPC parameters, similarly to drought related processes. In the simplest case these extra parameters should be left intact by the user. In any case, sensitivity analysis is needed to check whether the new parameters have strong influence on the variability of the output or not. The sensitivity analysis and the model optimization might be executed using the RBBGCMuSo R-based tool that is developed by our group (RBBGCMuSo). Contact us if you would like to use the R tool.

3.6   Management file (MGM file)

In contrast to the previous versions of BBGCMuSo, in BBGCMuSo v6 the management data are handled separately from the INI file. There is a major change in terms of the format of the definition of management events: now the original "vertical" structure is changed to a "horizontal" design where one management event is completely defined in one single line. This feature supports the easy parameterization of the model which was a major issue in the past.

Note that management settings can be optionally defined within the normal and spinup INI file, but they are only used during the normal and the optional transient run, and not during the regular spinup phase.

The following management parameters were defined to describe different management activities on the simulated ecosystem. Each management type can be activated or deactivated for a given simulation independent of the other management types. Implemented management types are documented in the Hidy et al. (2012) and in Hidy et al. (2016) study. Note that some management features (like panting and ploughing) might interfere which means that it is advisable to avoid setting some management events to the same day. For example, if planting and ploughing happened in the same day in reality, we suggest adjusting the timing of ploughing so that planting should be set one day after ploughing. In other case ploughing might destroy the seeds and move them to the litter pool.

In the management file (MGM file) the management blocks must be present even if the user deactivates them (i.e. the structure of the MGM file is fixed, similarly to EPC, INI and SOIL files). Out proposal is to use the *.mgm extension for file name convention.

The management text file starts with the following line (this is mandatory):

```
MANAGEMENT_INFORMATION
```

This header is followed by the 8 sections. The structures of the sections are the same:
- first line is a dividing line: ---------------
- second is the keyword: PLANTING, THINNING, MOWING, GRAZING, HARVESTING, PLOUGHING, FERTILIZING, IRRIGATING
- third line is the flag of the given management action (0: management type is deactivated, 1: management type is activated)
- fourth line (optionally - only if the management flag is 1): the name of the given management file. There is no filename convention for the management files.

Note that the number of the lines in the different management files is the function of the number of the management actions defined by the User. This is a major change compared to earlier model versions. This solution provides maximum flexibility as the same file can define annually varying management options (like in crop rotations) or management activities in uniform fashion (for large scale simulations with prescribed management for countries/continents).

Here we refer to Appendix C where complete examples are provided for the different management files.

PLANTING file

If the first management section in the MGM file is activated, the external PLANTING file is used by the model. **Only cropland related simulations should use this type of management with planting AND harvest information.** Planting assumes a non-natural vegetation with human-defined start and end of vegetation period (planting and harvesting date). PLANTING file should begin with a header (e.g. with the name and unit of the parameters). PLANTING file has the following 6 columns:

1) date of planting (e.g. 2013.04.20)
2) germination depth in meter
3) the quantity of the seed expressed in number of seedling per m^2
4) weight of 1000-seed in g[1]
5) carbon content of seed (or seedling) in percent
6) EPC filename and path of the planted crop

```
DATE         GERMDEPTH(m)   SEEDNUM(n/m2) 1000seedWGHT(g) seedC(%) CROP(file)
2013.04.20   0.06           7             300             40       epc/maize.epc
```

Crop rotation is handled here with the option to define different EPC files per planting event. Monocultures can be defined with a single EPC file (which means we can revert to the original model logic). **There are no other management options where alternative EPC can be defined.**

THINNING file

If the second management section in MGM file is activated, THINNING file is used by the model. This management type is associated with forest related simulations. Clearcut can also be defined as it is the upper limit of fellings. THINNING file should begin with a header (e.g. with the name and unit of the parameters). THINNING file has the following 5 columns:

1) date of thinning (e.g. 2001.04.20)
2) rate of the thinning (proportion of removed biomass during felling) regarding to aboveground woody plant material (live woody stem and dead woody stem in %); e.g. 50% of the woody biomass are removed via felling (note that roots are left at the site and they become part of the coarse woody debris and litter pool depending on root type)
3) rate of the thinning (proportion of removed biomass during felling in %) regarding to aboveground non-woody plant material (leaf, fruit); e.g. 50% of the non-woody biomass are removed via felling
4) transported part of stem (percent); this is the percent of cut down stem that is removed from the site after intervention
5) transported part of leaf (percent); this is the fraction of leaf on cut down trees that is removed from the site during thinning

```
DATE         THNrate_w(%)   THNrate_nw(%)  transPART_w(%) transPART_nw(%)
2001.01.31   15             15             90             90
```

MOWING file

If the third management section in the MGM file is activated, MOWING file is used by the model. This management type is associated with grass related simulations. MOWING file should begin with a header (e.g. with the name and unit of the parameters). MOWING file has the following 3 columns:

---

[1] See https://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/agdex81

1) date of mowing (e.g. 2001.04.20)

2) the value of LAI after mowing in $m^2\ m^{-2}$

3) percent of removed part of mowed grass; this defines the lateral carbon flux caused by mowing which has a strong influence on Net Biome Production (NBP).

```
DATE           afterLAI(m2/m2)      transPART(%)
2007.06.06     0.5                  90
```

GRAZING file

If the fourth management section in the MGM file is activated, external GRAZING file is used by the model. This management type is associated with pastures related simulations. Grazing is defined based on the 'livestock unit' (LSU) terminology, where 1 LSU refers to an average animal (the animal-specific exact value can be set below). GRAZING file should begin with a header (e.g. with the name and unit of the parameters). GRAZING file has the following 15 columns:

1) date of first day of the grazing period. Continuous grazing is assumed between the first and last grazig days defined here. The first number defines the start of the first grazing period, and the second defines the start of the second grazing period.

2) date of last day of the grazing period. The grazing periods should not overlap.

3) weight equivalent of an averaged animal (live stock unit) in kilograms; this is the average weight of the livestock

4) animal stocking rate regarding a unit area (number of LSU per hectare)

5) daily ingested dry matter (DM) regarding a unit LSU (kg dry biomass per LSU per day; carbon content of dry biomass is defined in line 10)

6) trampling effect coefficient. It defines the increase of senescence coefficient (see theoretical basis document) due to animal movement. The proposed value is 1.5 which means that the senescence coefficient during the grazing period is 1.5 times higher than the senescence coefficient value set in EPC file.

7) proportion of ingested dry matter which turns into excrement (percent of consumed grass)

8) proportion of excrement returning to litter (percent of excrement)

9) carbon content of dry matter (percent; 40-45% is a realistic estimate)

10) nitrogen content of excrement (percent)

11) carbon content of excrement (percent)

12) manure emission factor for direct N_2O emissions from manure management in kgN2O-N:kgN (IPCC, 2006); we suggest to use the value defined below in the example

13) default N excretion rate is the fraction of nitrogen excretion left at the site in kgN (1000 kg animal mass)$^{-1}$ day^{-1} (IPCC, 2006; T10.19); we suggest to use the value defined in the example external management file in Appendix C.

14) manure emission factor for CH_4 emission in kg CH_4 LSU^{-1} year^{-1} (IPCC, 2006; T10.14); we suggest to use the value defined in the example external management file in Appendix C.

15) fermentation emission factor for CH_4 emission in kg CH_4 LSU^{-1} year^{-1} (IPCC, 2006; T10.11); we suggest to use the value defined in the example management file in Appendix C.

HARVESTING file

If the fifth management section in the MGM file is activated, external HARVESTING file is used by the model. Harvest should be used in crop related simulations; for hay meadows the MOWING section should be used; for forest management the THINNING section is applicable. HARVESTING file should begin with a header (e.g. with the name and unit of the parameters). HARVESTING file has the following 3 columns:

1) date of harvest (e.g. 2001.04.20)
2) percent of removed soft stem carbon content compared to total soft stem
3) percent of harvested leaves and soft stem that is removed from the field; it is assumed that fruit (yield) is always transported away from the field after harvest; fine roots are always left at the field; this parameter controls the lateral carbon flux caused by harvest which has significant implications on Net Biome Production (NBP)

```
DATE           cutSTEM:totalSTEM(%)   transPART(%)
2013.10.03     10                     0
```

PLOUGHING file
If the sixth management section in the MGM file is activated, PLOUGHING file is used by the model. This management type is applicable for croplands and grasslands, but it can also be used in other biome types. The number of the lines in the PLOUGHING file is the function of the number of the management actions defined by the User. PLOUGHING file has the following 2 columns:
1) date of ploughing (e.g. 2001.04.21)
2) ploughing depth in meter

```
DATE           DEPTH(m)
2013.10.04     0.1
```

Ploughing has effect on the predefined soil texture, as it is supposed to homogenize the soil (in terms of texture, temperature and soil water, nitrogen and carbon content) for the depth of the ploughing at the ploughing day. This homogenization means that the model will use soil textures for the affected layers that might differ from those provided by the user within the SOIL file.
Note that ploughing causes burial of litter that is usually supposed to reside on the soil surface. To handle this process, BBGCMuSo differentiates between aboveground and belowground litter pools.

FERTILIZING file
If the seventh management section in the MGM file is activated, FERTILIZING file is used by the model. This management type is typically applicable for croplands and grasslands, but it can also be used in other biome types if needed. FERTILIZING file has the following 13 columns:
1) date of fertilizing (e.g. 2001.03.20.)
2) type of fertilizing (e.g. "ammnit") – a custom name specified by the User; it is only used for identification of fertilizer types (it does not affect the model result)
3) total amount of fertilizer per hectare per day (kg fertilizer/ha/day)
4) depth of fertilizing in meter
5) dry matter (DM) content of fertilizer in percent of fertilizer
6) nitrate fraction of the dry matter in percent of DM
7) ammonium fraction of the dry matter in percent of DM
8) urea content of fertilizer in kgN/kg fertilizer (note: this is an inactive variable which means that it does not affect the simulation results; forthcoming model versions will use this parameter)
9) organic nitrogen content of fertilizer dry matter content in case of organic manure in percent of DM

10) organic carbon content of fertilizer dry matter content in case of organic manure in percent of DM unit

11) labile carbon fraction of fertilizer organic DM (%) (only applicable if organic content of fertilizer is greater than zero)

12) cellulose fraction of the carbon content within the fertilizer organic DM (%) (only applicable if organic content of fertilizer is greater than zero)

13) emission factor of N additions from mineral fertilizers in kg N_2O–N $(kgN)^{-1}$

IRRIGATING file

If the eighth management section in the MGM file is activated, IRRIGATING file is used by the model. IRRIGATING file has the following 2 columns:

1) date of irrigation (e.g. 2001.03.20.)

3) amount of water from irrigation in kg per m^2 per day (equivalent with mm of precipitation)

```
DATE          AMOUNT(kgH2O/m2)
2013.07.21    40
```

3.7   Restart file

Restart file provides all the information required to start one simulation from the endpoint of a previous simulation. The results of the restarted simulation are exactly the same as if the original simulation had been carried out for additional years. This option is typically used in conjunction with the spinup mode (described above) to initialize the soil carbon and nitrogen components for a site without adequate measurements of these characteristics. Users need only know the names of input and output restart files, and need not be concerned with the file contents.

3.8   Optional input files

3.8.1   Carbon-dioxide concentration and nitrogen-deposition files

As we mentioned in the description of INI file, it is possible to use annual varying CO_2 concentration and/or N-deposition data for transient and normal simulation phase. These files must have one line for each simulation year: the first value on the line is the year, and the second value is the CO_2 concentration and/or N-deposition data. Starting from Biome-BGCMuSo 6.1 the model uses the year information for the simulation (**this was not the case in earlier Biome-BGC and Biome-BGCMuSo versions!**). It means that the User might create a long CO_2 data file covering many years and the model will use the appropriate years if model run is initiated for a subset of years. This is also the case for N deposition.

3.8.2   Mortality and conductance files

In order to enable more realistic simulation of forest stand development (or disturbance events for other biomes) we implemented an option for supplying annually varying whole plant mortality to BBGC MuSo. It is also possible to set maximum stomatal conductance in an annually varying fashion. During the spinup, transient and the normal phase of the simulation the model can either use constant mortality and stomatal conductance, or it can read annually varying mortality and/or conductance defined by a text file (mortality_spinup, mortality_transient.txt, mortality_normal.txt, conductance_spinup, conductance_transient.txt, conductance_normal.txt – the filenames are fixed) which is/are supposed to be present next to the model executable. During spinup phase mortality and conductance data file will be recycled enough times to establish steady-state conditions (such as the meteorological data). The structure of these files is the similar as the structure of the annually varying CO_2 concentration file or the annually varying N deposition file. Note that (unlike CO_2 and NDEP file) the current model version does NOT take into account the year field in the mortality.txt or conductance.txt file: for the first year of the simulation the first line is used (regardless of the value of the year field); for the second year the second line is used etc. If external file(s) are provided by the User, the settings of whole plant mortality and/or maximum stomatal conductance within the EPC file are ignored by the model.

3.8.3   Groundwater file

Poorly drained forests (e.g. in boreal regions or in lowland areas) are special ecosystems where groundwater and flooding play an important role in soil hydrology and plant growth (Pietsch et al., 2003; Bond-Lamberty et al., 2007). In order to enable

groundwater (vertically varying soil water saturation) effect on the ecosystems in BBGCMuSo we implemented an option to supply external information about the depth of the water table.

Groundwater depth is controlled by prescribing the depth of saturated zone (groundwater) within the soil. We assume that the User has information about groundwater depth from measurements or from another model (e.g. watershed hydrology model).

During the spinup and transient phase of the simulation the model can only use daily average data for one typical simulation year, defined by a text file (groundwater_spinup.txt; it must contain data exactly for 365 days). During the normal phase of the simulation the model can read daily groundwater information defined by another external text file (groundwater_normal.txt). These files are supposed to be present next to the model executable. If the files are not present, no groundwater manipulation is happening (using this logic groundwater effect cannot be represented at all, or can be represented only in spinup run (including transient), only in normal run, or in both phases if both txt files are present).

The structure of groundwater.txt and groundwater_spinup.txt is simple (it is similar to the structure of the annually varying CO_2 concentration file or the annually varying N deposition file: day of year, then groundwater depth in meters (positive value!) for the given day; note that in the other external files the first column is typically the year, so this file format is a special one). Note that the current model version does NOT take into account the day of year field in the file: for the first day of the simulation the first line is used (regardless of the value of the day field); for the second day the second line is used etc. The User should check whether the length of the groundwater file is in accordance with the length of the normal simulation.

The handling of the externally supplied groundwater information is the following. If the depth of the water table reaches the bottom boundary of the given soil layer, the groundwater-affected part of the given layer becomes saturated, therefore the average soil water content of the given layer increases. If the depth of the water table reaches the upper boundary of the given soil layer, the given layer becomes saturated.

Note that groundwater simulation was tested with the Richards equation based soil water balance method. The tipping bucket method that can be optionally used for soil water balance calculations might cause water balance error in some situations when groundwater file is present. We propose to select the Richards method when using groundwater simulation.

3.8.4 External file to control growing season ("onday" and "offday" files)

In order to prescribe the phenological phases of plants based on diverse information sources (e.g. based on remote sensing observations of from external phenology models), we implemented an option to use annual varying, but user-defined yearday to start new growth and yearday to end litterfall (in brief, onday and offday). As we mentioned above, setting the first line of the SIMULATION_CONTROL block of the INI file to 0 means that the User provides onday and offday in lines 9 and 10 within the EPC file, which will be the same in each simulation year. This new model feature overrides this restriction.

During the spinup, transient and the normal phase of the simulation the model can either use constant onday and/or offday, or it can read annually varying onday and/or offday defined by a text file (onday_spinup, onday_transient.txt, onday_normal.txt, offday_spinup, offday_transient.txt, offday_normal.txt – the names of the files are fixed) which is supposed to be present next to the model executable. During spinup onday and offday data file will be recycled enough times to establish steady-state conditions (such as meteorological data).

The structure of these files is the same as the structure of the annually varying CO_2 concentration file or the annually varying N deposition file. Note that the current model

version does NOT take into account the year field in the txt file (similar to conductance and mortality files): for the first year of the simulation the first line is used (regardless of the value of the year field); for the second year the second line is used etc.

4. Output files

There are two different styles of output produced by BBGCMuSo. The first type includes the output files controlled through the initialization file with information from the OUTPUT_CONTROL, DAILY_OUTPUT, and ANNUAL_OUTPUT sections. This is the most flexible output mechanism, since the user can control exactly which model variables to include in the output files, and what level of averaging to perform. The second type of output is a very simple formatted text file that contains annual summary information for each year of the simulation. This text output file is produced for all non-spinup simulations, and it uses a fixed list of output variables. These two output types are described in greater detail below.

4.1 Binary and ASCII output files, or option to send the model output to the screen

In BBGCMuSo 6 the User can select the format of the output files. In BBGCMuSo 6.1 we introduced the possibility to create ASCII output files (=text files, which can be read by any text editor, word processor, Microsoft Excel, R or any other popular software). If the text file option is selected, the User should acknowledge that he/she has to provide a string in the INI file after definition of the daily output variable. This string is used as the header of the ASCII output and is used to distinguish between the variables.

Binary file creation is still possible for backward compatibility and efficiency, but the User has to acknowledge that **we moved to double precision floating point format, which means 8 byte storage space per number**. Binary files are not text files, and you will not be able to read them with a text editor or word processor. Instead, they are data files containing binary representations of the values of the output variables (the common name of this file format is „flat binary"). Each value is written as a double precision IEEE floating point binary number (IEE, 2008) (using 8 bytes per number; see https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~wkahan/ieee754status/IEEE754.PDF for details). These values can be read directly using simple code written in C/C++, FORTRAN, BASIC, PASCAL, IDL, Python, R and other programming languages. They can also be read by many commercially available software packages.

In this model version the User can choose the "*sending output to screen*" option, which means that the results are written to the standard output (typically the computer screen) thus no output file is created.

4.2 Annual text output

Because it can take some time to get used to the binary output formats, we also include a formatted text output file with annual summary information. For many applications this may be all the information required. In any case it allows a quick look at the results before proceeding with more detailed analyses. The name of the file is the user-supplied output prefix plus the following suffix "_ann.txt". Note that in BBGCMuSo we have added new output types to the annual output file including NBP and management related lateral carbon fluxes.

4.3 Log file

In BBGCMuSo some of the variables (mostly related to soil processes) are calculated internally by the model code, so they are not accessible by the user in a simple way. Also, due to options related to soil water content/temperature and phenology calculations, information

about the actual configuration might not be available after model execution in a simplistic way. Another problem is that getting information about the successfulness of the simulation is not always possible in batch processing mode (e.g. during Monte-Carlo simulations).

Based on this reasoning we have extended the model to create another file with essential information about the model simulation in terms of configuration and technical aspects. This file is called as the log file (with .log extension; they are pure text files). Spinup and normal runs have their own log file. Using the log file it is easy to decide whether the model simulation was successful or not: the last line of the log file shows the simulation status [0 - failure; 1 - success]. If no log file is generated, then the model clearly failed, so this is another option to check model simulation status. The log file also contains information about the most important, model-calculated parameters and optional input files.

Appendix D contains an example for the log file.

5. Code History

The BBGCMuSo ecosystem process model has a long heritage, and many people have contributed extensively to its development over many years. The following is a short synopsis of some of the most prominent code versions preceeding the current version BBGCMuSo 6.1.

Biome-BGC MuSo v6.1, Dóra Hidy, 2021 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC MuSo v6.0, Dóra Hidy, 2019 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC MuSo v5.0, Dóra Hidy, 2018 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC MuSo v4.1, Dóra Hidy, 2016 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC MuSo v4.0, Dóra Hidy, 2015 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC MuSo v1.0-3.0.8, Dóra Hidy (C/C++)
Biome-BGC version 4.1.1, Peter E. Thornton, 2000 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC version 4.1, Peter E. Thornton, 2000 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC version 2.0 (CRB-BGC), Peter E. Thornton, 1995 (C/C++)
Biome-BGC version 1.37, E. Raymond Hunt, Jr., 1993 (Pascal)
Forest-BGC, Joseph C. Coughlan, 1986 (Pascal)
DAYTRANS-PSN, Steven W. Running, 1981
DAYTRANS, Steven W. Running, 1975

Others who have contributed to the code in various ways and at various stages include: Galina Churkina, Tom Gower, Kathy Hibbard, Bob Keane, John Kimball, Lars Pierce, Joseph White, Michael White, Peter Anthony, Emil Cienciala, Beverly Law, Shaoxiu Ma, Ryan Anderson, Ben Bond-Lamberty, Zoltán Barcza, Emese Bottyán, Roland Hollós, Nándor Fodor.

Please contact the authors for the source code of the model or check the website of the model at http://nimbus.elte.hu/bbgc/. See also https://github.com/bpbond/Biome-BGC for useful information. You might be interested in the so-called RBBGCMuso R tool that covers a wide functionality to support the application of Biome-BGCMuSo including sensitivity analysis, parameter estimation (i.e. calibration), visualization and others (https://github.com/hollorol/RBBGCMuso).
.

Acknowledgements

Appendix A
EXAMPLE INI FILE FOR BBGCMUSO 6.1

```
BBGCMuSo INI file for Bugac grassland site (HU-Bug FluxNet site)

MET_INPUT
WTH/bugac.wth                                                          (filename) met file name
4                                                                      (int) number of header lines in met file
365                                      (int) number of simdays in last simyear (truncated year: <= 365)

RESTART
1                                                              (flag) 1 = read restart; 0 = dont read restart
0                                                              (flag) 1 = write restart; 0 = dont write restart
RSTRT/bugac_MuSo6.rst                                                  (filename) name of the input restart file
RSTRT/bugac_MuSo6.rst                                                  (filename) name of the output restart file

TIME_DEFINE
13                                                                     (int) number of simulation years
2003                                                                   (int) first simulation year
0                                                              (flag) 1 = spinup run; 0 = normal run
500                                                            (int) maximum number of spinup years

CO2_CONTROL
1                                                              (flag) 0=constant; 1=vary with file
395.0                                              (ppm) constant atmospheric CO2 concentration
CO2/CO2.txt                                                            (filename) name of the CO2 file

NDEP_CONTROL
1                                                              (flag) 0=constant; 1=vary with file
0.001400                                           (kgN/m2/yr) wet+dry atmospheric deposition of N
NDEP/Ndep.txt                                                          (filename)  name of the N-dep file

SITE
111.4                                                                  (m)  site elevation
46.69                                              (degrees) site latitude (- for S.Hem.)
0.20                                                           (DIM) site shortwave albedo
11.00                                              (Celsius) mean annual air temperature
13.42                                              (Celsius) mean annual air temperature range
0.50                                               (prop.) proprortion of NH4 flux of N-deposition

SOIL_FILE
SOI/bugac_ MuSo6.soil                                                  (filename) SOIL filename

EPC_FILE
EPC/c3grass_ MuSo6.epc                                                 (filename) EPC filename

MANAGEMENT_FILE
MGM/bugac_MuSo6.mgm                                                    (filename) MGM filename (or "none")

SIMULATION_CONTROL
1                                  (flag) phenology flag (1 = MODEL PHENOLOGY 0 = USER-SPECIFIED PHENOLOGY)
1                                  (flag) vegper calculation method if MODEL PHENOLOGY is used (0: original, 1: GSI)
0                                  (flag) transferGDD flag (1= transfer calc. from GDD 0 = transfer calc. from EPC)
1                                  (flag) q10 flag (1 = temperature dependent q10 value; 0= constant q10 value)
1                                  (flag) acclimation flag of photosynthesis (1 = acclimation 0 = no acclimation)
1                                  (flag) acclimation flag of respiration (1 = acclimation 0 = no acclimation)
1                                  (flag) CO2 conductance reduction flag (0: no effect, 1: multiplier)
0                                  (flag) soil temperature calculation method (0: Zheng, 1: DSSAT)
1                                  (flag) soil hydrological calculation method (0: Richards, 1: tipping DSSAT)
0                                  (int) discretization level of soil hydr.calc.[Richards-method] (0: low, 1: medium, 2: high)
0                                  (flag) photosynthesis calculation method (0: Farquhar, 1: DSSAT)
0                                  (flag) evapotranspiration calculation method (0: Penman-Montieth, 1: Priestly-Taylor)
0                                  (flag) radiation calculation method (0: SWabs, 1: Rn)
0                                  (flag) soilstress calculation method (0: based on VWC, 1: based on transp. demand)

W_STATE
0.0                                                                    (kg/m2) water stored in snowpack
1.0                                                (DIM) initial soil water as a proportion of field capacity

CN_STATE
0.001                                                                  (kgC/m2)  first-year maximum leaf carbon
0.001                                                          (kgC/m2)  first-year maximum fine root carbon
0.001                                                          (kgC/m2)  first-year maximum fruit carbon
0.001                                                          (kgC/m2)  first-year maximum softstem carbon
0.001                                                  (kgC/m2)  first-year maximum live woody stem carbon
0.001                                                  (kgC/m2)  first-year maximum live coarse root carbon
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0              (kgC/m2)  coarse woody debris carbon
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0              (kgC/m2)  litter carbon, labile pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0      (kgC/m2)  litter carbon, unshielded cellulose pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0      (kgC/m2)  litter carbon, shielded cellulose pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0              (kgC/m2)  litter carbon, lignin pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0      (kgC/m2)  soil carbon, fast microbial recycling pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0      (kgC/m2)  soil carbon, medium microbial recycling pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0      (kgC/m2)  soil carbon, slow microbial recycling pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0          (kgC/m2)  soil carbon, recalcitrant SOM (slowest)
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0              (kgN/m2)  litter nitrogen, labile pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0      (kgN/m2)  soil mineralized nitrogen, NH4 pool
0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0      (kgN/m2)  soil mineralized nitrogen, NO3 pool

CLIM_CHANGE
0.0                                                                    (degC) - offset for Tmax
0.0                                                                    (degC) - offset for Tmin
1.0                                                                    (degC) - multiplier for PRCP
1.0                                                                    (degC) - multiplier for VPD
1.0                                                                    (degC) - multiplier for RAD
```

```
CONDITIONAL_MANAGEMENT_STRATEGIES
0                                                          (flag) conditional mowing ? 0 - no, 1 - yes
0.0                                                        (m2/m2) fixed value of the LAI before MOWING
0.0                                                        (m2/m2) fixed value of the LAI after MOWING
0.0                                                        (%) transported part of plant material after MOWING
0                                                          (flag) conditional irrigation? 0 - no, 1 - yes
0.0                                         (prop) SMSI before cond. IRRIGATION (-9999: SWCratio is used)
0.0                             (prop) SWCratio of rootzone before cond. IRRIGATION (-9999: SMSI is used)
0.0                                              (prop) SWCratio of rootzone after cond. IRRIGATION
0.0                                                       (kgH2O/m2) maximum amount of irrigated water


OUTPUT_CONTROL
OUT/bugac_ MuSo6                                                                 (filename) output prefix
2                             (flag)  writing daily output (0 = no; 1 = binary; 2 = ascii; 3 = on-screen)
0                 (flag)  writing monthly average of daily output (0 = no; 1 = binary; 2 = ascii; 3 = on-screen)
0                  (flag)  writing annual average of daily output (0 = no; 1 = binary; 2 = ascii; 3 = on-screen)
0                             (flag)  writing annual output (0 = no; 1 = binary; 2 = ascii; 3 = on-screen)
1                                                     (flag)  for on-screen progress indicator


DAILY_OUTPUT
12                                                                  number of daily output variables
2504                                                                                       n_actphen
2605                                                                                     vwc00-03cm
2606                                                                                     vwc03-10cm
2607                                                                                     vwc10-30cm
75                                                                                            GDD
2638                                                                                  rooting_depth
2718                                                                                   m_soilstress
671                                                                                 m_vegc_to_SNSC
171                                                                                    evapotransp
3009                                                                                      daily_gpp
3014                                                                                       daily_tr
2522                                                                                       proj_lai


ANNUAL_OUTPUT
16                                                                 number of annual output variables
3000                                                                                       annprcp
3001                                                                                       anntavg
3002                                                                                      annrunoff
3003                                                                                     annoutflow
2736                                                                                     annmax_lai
3031                                                                                  cum_Closs_MGM
3032                                                                                  cum_Cplus_MGM
3045                                                                                 cum_Closs_SNSC
3046                                                                                 cum_Cplus_STDB
3058                                                                                           vegc
3064                                                                                         totalc
3066                                                                                    SOM_C_top30
3070                                                                                   SOM_C_30to60
3071                                                                                   SOM_C_60to90
3068                                                                                      NH4_top30
3069                                                                                      NO3_top30


END_INIT
```

Appendix B

```
Example EPC file for BBGCMuSo 6
ECOPHYS FILE - C3 grass  Biome-BGC Muso  based on White et al. 2000 and parameter analysis
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
FLAGS
0         (flag)             biome type flag (1 = WOODY 0 = NON-WOODY)
0         (flag)             woody type flag (1 = EVERGREEN 0 = DECIDUOUS)
1         (flag)             photosyn. type flag (1 = C3 PSN 0 = C4 PSN)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
PLANT FUNCTIONING PARAMETERS
0         (yday)             yearday to start new growth (when phenology flag = 0)
364       (yday)             yearday to end litterfall (when phenology flag = 0)
1.0       (prop.)            transfer growth period as fraction of growing season (when transferGDD_flag = 0)
1.0       (prop.)            litterfall as fraction of growing season (when transferGDD_flag = 0)
4         (Celsius)          base temperature
-9999     (Celsius)          minimum  temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-dependence of allocation)
-9999     (Celsius)          optimal1 temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-dependence of allocation)
-9999     (Celsius)          optimal2 temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-dependence of allocation)
-9999     (Celsius)          maxmimum temperature for growth displayed on current day (-9999: no T-dependence of allocation)
-9999     (Celsius)          minimum  temperature for carbon assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limitation)
-9999     (Celsius)          optimal1 temperature for carbon assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limitation)
-9999     (Celsius)          optimal2 temperature for carbon assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limitation)
-9999     (Celsius)          maxmimum temperature for carbon assimilation displayed on current day (-9999: no limitation)
1.0       (1/yr)             annual leaf and fine root turnover fraction    ---> 1.0 (White et al., 2000)
0.00      (1/yr)             annual live wood turnover fraction            ---> 0.0 (White et al., 2000)
0.0       (1/yr)             annual fire mortality fraction                ---> 0.05 (White et al., 2000)
0.02      (1/vegper)         whole-plant mortality fraction in vegetation period ---> 0.1 (White et al., 2000)
21.0      (kgC/kgN)          C:N of leaves                                 ---> 25.0 (White et al., 2000)
45.0      (kgC/kgN)          C:N of leaf litter, after retranslocation ---> 45.0 (White et al., 2000)
50.0      (kgC/kgN)          C:N of fine roots                             ---> 50.0 (White et al., 2000)
21.0      (kgC/kgN)          C:N of fruit     , usually we set same as C:N of leaves
21.0      (kgC/kgN)          C:N of soft stem , usually we set same as C:N of leaves
0.0       (kgC/kgN)          C:N of live wood
0.0       (kgC/kgN)          C:N of dead wood
0.4       (kgC/kgDM)         dry matter carbon content of leaves
0.4       (kgC/kgDM)         dry matter carbon content of leaf litter
0.4       (kgC/kgDM)         dry matter carbon content of fine roots
0.4       (kgC/kgDM)         dry matter carbon content of fruit
0.4       (kgC/kgDM)         dry matter carbon content of soft stem
0.4       (kgC/kgDM)         dry matter carbon content of live wood
0.4       (kgC/kgDM)         dry matter carbon content of dead wood
0.68      (DIM)              leaf litter labile proportion               ---> 0.680 (White et al., 2000)
0.23      (DIM)              leaf litter cellulose proportion            ---> 0.230 (White et al., 2000)
0.222     (DIM)              fine root labile proportion                 ---> 0.222 (White et al., 2000)
0.531     (DIM)              fine root cellulose proportion              ---> 0.531 (White et al., 2000)
0.68      (DIM)              fruit litter labile proportion
0.23      (DIM)              fruit litter cellulose proportion
0.68      (DIM)              soft stem litter labile proportion
0.23      (DIM)              soft stem litter cellulose proportion
0.75      (DIM)              dead wood cellulose proportion     , no woody component, does not matter
0.022     (1/LAI/d)          canopy water interception coefficient    ---> 0.022 (White et al., 2000)
0.48      (DIM)              canopy light extinction coefficient      ---> 0.48 (White et al., 2000)
2.0       (g/MJ)             potential radiation use efficiency
0.781     (DIM)              radiation parameter1 (Jiang et al.2015)
-13.596   (DIM)              radiation parameter2 (Jiang et al.2015)
2.0       (DIM)              all-sided to projected leaf area ratio   ---> 2.0 (White et al., 2000)
2.0       (DIM)              ratio of shaded SLA:sunlit SLA           ---> 2.0 (White et al., 2000)
0.28      (DIM)              fraction of leaf N in Rubisco            ---> 0.21 (White et al., 2000)
0.03      (DIM)              fraction of leaf N in PEP Carboxylase
0.006     (m/s)              maximum stomatal conductance (projected area basis)   ---> 0.006 (White et al., 2000)
0.00006   (m/s)              cuticular conductance (projected area basis)         --> 0.00006 (White et al., 2000)
0.04      (m/s)              boundary layer conductance (projected area basis)   ---> 0.04 (White et al., 2000)
1.0       (m)                maximum height of plant
0.2       (kgC)              stem weight corresponding to maximum height
1.0       (dimless)          plant height function shape parameter (slope)
1.3       (m)                maximum depth of rooting zone
1.13      (DIM)              root distribution parameter
0.69      (kgC)              root weight corresponding to max root depth
1.3       (dimless)          root depth function shape parameter (slope)
1000      (m/kg)             root weight to root length conversion factor
0.3       (prop.)            growth resp per unit of C grown
0.218     (kgC/kgN/d)        maintenance respiration in kgC/day per kg of tissue N
0.1       (DIM)              theoretical maximum prop. of non-structural and structural carbohydrates
0.3       (DIM)              prop. of non-structural carbohydrates available for maintanance respiration
0.0047    (kgN/m2/yr)        symbiotic+asymbiotic fixation of N
0         (day)              time delay for temperature in photosynthesis acclimation
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
CROP SPECIFIC PARAMETERS
0         (DIM)              number of phenophase of germination (from 1 to 7; 0: NO specific)
0         (DIM)              number of phenophase of emergence (from 1 to 7; 0: NO specific)
0.5       (prop.)            critical VWCratio (prop. to FC-WP) in germination
0         (DIM)              number of phenophase of photoperiodic slowing effect (from 1 to 7; 0: NO effect)
20        (hour)             critical photoslow daylength
0.005     (DIM)              slope of relative photoslow development rate
0         (DIM)              number of phenophase of vernalization (from 1 to 7; 0: NO effect)
0         (Celsius)          critical vernalization temperature 1
5         (Celsius)          critical vernalization temperature 2
8         (Celsius)          critical vernalization temperature 3
15        (Celsius)          critical vernalization temperature 4
0.04      (DIM)              slope of relative vernalization development rate
50        (n)                required vernalization days (in vernalization development rate)
0         (DIM)              number of flowering phenophase (from 1 to 7;0: NO effect)
35        (Celsius)          critical flowering heat stress temperature 1
40        (Celsius)          critical flowering heat stress temperature 2
0.5       (prop.)            theoretical maximum of flowering thermal stress mortality parameter
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
STRESS AND SENESCENCE PARAMETERS
0.5       (prop)             VWC ratio to calc. soil moisture limit 1 (prop. to FC-WP)
0.99      (prop)             VWC ratio to calc. soil moisture limit 2 (prop. to SAT-FC)
```

```
0.4        (prop)         minimum of soil moisture limit2 multiplicator (full anoxic stress value)
1000       (Pa)           vapor pressure deficit: start of conductance reduction
5000       (Pa)           vapor pressure deficit: complete conductance reduction
0.0003     (prop.)        maximum senescence mortality coefficient of aboveground plant material
0.0003     (prop.)        maximum senescence mortality coefficient of belowground plant material
0.0001     (prop.)        maximum senescence mortality coefficient of non-structured plant material
30         (Celsius)      lower limit extreme high temperature effect on senescence mortality
40         (Celsius)      upper limit extreme high temperature effect on senescence mortality
0.05       (prop.)        turnover rate of wilted standing biomass to litter
0.05       (prop.)        turnover rate of non-woody cut-down biomass to litter
0.01       (prop.)        turnover rate of woody cut-down biomass to litter
30         (nday)         drought tolerance parameter (critical value of DSWS)
0.2        (dimless)      effect of soilstress factor on photosynthesis (1: full effect, 0: no effect)
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
GROWING SEASON PARAMETERS
5          (kg/m2)        crit. amount of snow limiting photosyn.
20         (Celsius)      limit1 (under:full constrained) of HEATSUM index
60         (Celsius)      limit2 (above:unconstrained) of HEATSUM index
0          (Celsius)      limit1 (under:full constrained) of TMIN index
5          (Celsius)      limit2 (above:unconstrained) of TMIN index
4000       (Pa)           limit1 (above:full constrained) of VPD index
1000       (Pa)           limit2 (under:unconstrained) of VPD index
0          (s)            limit1 (under:full constrained) of DAYLENGTH index
0          (s)            limit2 (above:unconstrained) of DAYLENGTH index
10         (day)          moving average (to avoid the effects of extreme events)
0.10       (dimless)      GSI limit1 (greater that limit -> start of vegper)
0.01       (dimless)      GSI limit2 (less that limit -> end of vegper)
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
PHENOLOGICAL (ALLOCATION) PARAMETERS (7 phenological phases)
phase1  phase2  phase3  phase4  phase5  phase6  phase7         (text) name of the phenophase
150     750     700     1500    2000    2000    2000           (Celsius) length of phenophase (GDD)
0.5     0.40    0.30    0.00    0.00    0.1     0.1            (ratio) leaf ALLOCATION
0.5     0.40    0.30    0.20    0.20    0.30    0.30           (ratio) fine root ALLOCATION
0.0     0.00    0.0     0.60    0.60    0.30    0.30           (ratio) fruit ALLOCATION
0.      0.20    0.40    0.20    0.20    0.30    0.30           (ratio) soft stem ALLOCATION
0       0       0       0       0       0       0             (ratio) live woody stem ALLOCATION
0       0       0       0       0       0       0             (ratio) dead woody stem ALLOCATION
0       0       0       0       0       0       0             (ratio) live coarse root ALLOCATION
0       0       0       0       0       0       0             (ratio) dead coarse root ALLOCATION
60      60      43      43      43      43      43            (m2/kgC) canopy average specific leaf area
0.4     0.4     0.56    0.56    0.56    0.56    0.56          (prop.)   current growth proportion
1000    1500    1500    1000    1000    1000    1000          (Celsius) maximal lifetime of plant tissue
```

64

Appendix C

EXAMPLE FOR SOIL FILE

```
SOILPROP FILE -    bugac muso6
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
NITROGEN AND DECOMPOSITION PARAMETERS
0.05      (1/gCO2)          soil respiration related denitrification rate
0.2       (prop.)           net mineralization proportion of nitrification
0.1       (1/day)           maximum nitrification rate
0.02      (prop.)           coefficient of N2O emission of nitrification
0.1       (prop.)           NH4 mobilen proportion
2         (dimless)         denitrification related N2/N2O ratio multiplier
10        (m)               e-folding depth of decomposition rate depth scalar
0.002     (prop.)           fraction of dissolved part of SOIL1 organic matter
0.002     (prop.)           fraction of dissolved part of SOIL2 organic matter
0.001     (prop.)           fraction of dissolved part of SOIL3 organic matter
0.001     (prop.)           fraction of dissolved part of SOIL4 organic matter
0.1       (prop.)           minimum WFPS for scalar of nitrification calculation
0.45      (prop.)           lower optimum WFPS for scalar of nitrification calculation
0.55      (prop.)           higher optimum WFPS for scalar of nitrification calculation
0.2       (prop.)           minimum value for saturated WFPS scalar of nitrification calculation
12        (ppm)             C:N ratio of stable soil pool (soil4) -----------------------------------------------------
-----------------------------------------
RATE SCALARS
0.39      (DIM)             respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (l1s1)
0.55      (DIM)             respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (l2s2)
0.29      (DIM)             respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (l4s3)
0.28      (DIM)             respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (s1s2)
0.46      (DIM)             respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (s2s3)
0.55      (DIM)             respiration fractions for fluxes between compartments (s3s4)
0.7       (1/day)           rate constant scalar of  labile litter pool
0.07      (1/day)           rate constant scalar of cellulose litter pool
0.014     (1/day)           rate constant scalar of lignin litter pool
0.07      (1/day)           rate constant scalar of  fast microbial recycling pool
0.014     (1/day)           rate constant scalar of  medium microbial recycling pool
0.0014    (1/day)           rate constant scalar of  slow microbial recycling pool
0.0001    (1/day)           rate constant scalar of  recalcitrant SOM (humus) pool
0.001     (1/day)           rate constant scalar of  physical fragmentation of coarse woody debris
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
CH4 PARAMETERS
212.5     (DIM)             soil CH4 emission bulk density dependence parameter1
1.81      (DIM)             soil CH4 emission bulk density dependence parameter2
-1.353    (DIM)             soil CH4 emission soil water content dependence parameter1
0.2       (DIM)             soil CH4 emission soil water content dependence parameter2
1.781     (DIM)             soil CH4 emission soil water content dependence parameter3
6.786     (DIM)             soil CH4 emission soil water content dependence parameter4
0.010     (DIM)             soil CH4 emission soil temperature dependence parameter1
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
SOIL PARAMETERS
2         (m)               depth of soil
6         (mm)              limit of first stage evaporation
20.00     (mm)              maximum height of pond water
1         (dimless)         curvature of soil stress function
-9999     (dimless)         measured runoff curve number (-9999: no measured data, model estimation)
300       (s/m)             aerodynamic resistance (Wallace and Holwill, 1997)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
SOIL COMPOSITION AND CHARACTERISTIC VALUES (-9999: no measured data)
78.5   78.5 78.5   84.7  92.7  92.7  92.7  92.7  92.7  92.7       (%) sand percentage by volume in rock-free soil
8.6     8.6  8.6    6.0   2.9   2.9   2.9   2.9   2.9   2.9       (%) silt percentage by volume in rock-free soi
7.0     7.0  7.0    7.0   7.0   7.0   7.0   7.0   7.0   7.0       (dimless) soil pH
-9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999       (g/cm3) bulk density
-9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999       (m3/m3) SWC at saturation
-9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999       (m3/m3) SWC at field capacity
-9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999       (m3/m3) SWC at wilting point
-9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999       (m3/m3) SWC at hygroscopic water content
-9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999       (dimless) drainage coefficient
-9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999       (cm/day) hydraulic condictivity at saturation
```

Appendix D

EXAMPLE FOR MANAGEMENT INFORMATION FILE

```
MANAGEMENT_INFORMATION MuSo6
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
PLANTING
0                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
none
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
THINNING
0                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
none
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
MOWING
0                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
none
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
GRAZING
1                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
MGM/grazing/bugac.grz
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
HARVESTING
0                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
none
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
PLOUGHING
0                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
none
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
FERTILIZING
0                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
none
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
IRRIGATING
0                              (flag) flag of management action: 0 - no MGM, 1 - MGM information from file below
none
```

Appendix E

EXAMPLES FOR ANCILLARY MANAGEMENT FILES

Examples are given here for the content of the externally defined ancillary management types.

Ancillary file for annually varying planting

```
DATE            GERMDEPTH(m)   SEEDNUM(n/m2)  1000seedWEIGHT(g)        seedC(%)   CROP(file)
2013.04.21      0.06           7              300                      40         epc/maize_apriori_MuSo6.epc
2014.04.18      0.06           7              300                      40         epc/maize_apriori_MuSo6.epc
2015.04.24      0.06           7              300                      40         epc/maize_apriori_MuSo6.epc
2016.04.21      0.06           7              300                      40         epc/maize_apriori_MuSo6.epc
```

Ancillary file for annually varying thinning

```
DATE            THNrate_w(%)         THNrate_nw(%)         transPART_w(%)         transPART_nw(%)
1903.04.01      15                   15                    90                     90
1913.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1923.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1933.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1943.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1953.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1963.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1973.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1979.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1989.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
1999.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
2007.01.31      15                   15                    90                     90
```

Ancillary file for annually varying mowing

```
DATE            afterLAI(m2/m2)          transPART(%)
2007.06.06      0.5                      90
2007.08.20      0.4                      80
2008.06.06      0.5                      90
2008.08.20      0.4                      80
2009.06.06      0.5                      90
2009.08.20      0.4                      80
2010.06.06      0.5                      90
2010.08.20      0.4                      80
```

Ancillary file for annually varying grazing

```
DATEstart   DATEend      wLSU(kg/LSU)   SR(LSU/ha)  inDM(kgDM/LSU)  TReff(prop)inDM2exc(%)  exc2lit(%)  DMC(%)  manN(%)  manC(%)  EFmanN2O(kgN2O-N:kgN)  NexcRATE(kgN/1000kgANIMAL/day)  EFmanCH4(kgCH4/LSU/yr)  EFfermCH4
2003.05.01  2003.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        90          43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2004.05.01  2004.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2005.05.01  2005.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2006.05.01  2006.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2007.05.01  2007.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2008.05.01  2008.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2009.05.01  2009.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2010.05.01  2010.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2011.05.01  2011.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2012.05.01  2012.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2013.05.01  2013.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2014.05.01  2014.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
2015.05.01  2015.11.01   381            1.4  8.6     1.5  25        100         43      2        40       0.01                   0.35                           8                       58
```

Ancillary file for annually varying harvesting

```
DATE            cutSTEM:totalSTEM(%)        transPART(%)
2013.10.03      10                          0
2014.10.01      10                          10
2015.10.06      10                          0
2016.10.01      10                          10
```

Ancillary file for annually varying ploughing

```
DATE            DEPTH(m)
2013.10.04      0.1
2014.10.02      0.1
2015.10.07      0.1
2016.10.02      0.1
```

Ancillary file for annually varying fertilizing

DATE	TYPE	AMOUNT(kg/ha)	DPTH(m)	DM(%FRT)	NO3(%DM)	NH4(%DM)	UREA(kgN/kgFRT)	orgN(%DM)	orgC(%DM)	Lfr(%)	Cfr(%)	EMFAC
2013.04.10	ammnit	500	0.25	100	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0.01
2014.04.10	ammnit	500	0.25	100	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0.01
2015.05.10	ammnit	500	0.25	100	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0.01
2016.05.10	ammnit	500	0.25	100	17	17	0	0	0	0	0	0.01

Ancillary file for annually varying irrigation

DATE	AMOUNT(kgH2O/m2)
2013.07.21	40
2015.07.24	60

Appendix F
EXAMPLE LOG FILE CREATED BY BBGCMUSO 6

```
NORMAL RUN

VEGETATION TYPE
biome type        - NON-WOODY
woody type        - DECIDUOUS
photosyn.type     - C3 PSN

CALCULATION METHODS
hydrology         - DSSAT
temperature       - MuSo
photosynthesis    - Farquhar
evapotranspiration - Penman-Monteith
radiation         - based on SWabs
soilstress        - based on VWC
transfer period   - EPC
q10 value         - temperature dependent
photosyn. acclim. - yes
respiration acclim. - yes
CO2 conduct. effect - reduction

DATA SOURCES
SGS data - model estimation (with GSI method)
EGS data - model estimation (with GSI method)
WPM data - constant
MSC data - constant
management  - YES
groundwater - NO

CRITICAL VWC (m3/m3) AND PSI (MPa) VALUES OF TOP SOIL LAYER
saturation:                      0.440    -0.0007
field capacity:                  0.250    -0.0128
wilting point:                   0.090    -2.3146
hygroscopic water:               0.010  -158.4893
bulk density:                    1.560
Clapp-Hornberger b parameter:    5.260

LIMITATION VALUES OF SWC (m3/m3) IN TOP SOIL LAYER
SWC (limit1 and limit2):        0.170        0.438

INFORMATION ABOUT SGS AND EGS VALUES (yday of onday and offday)
SGS value (min and max):    13     90
EGS value (min and max):   293    324

SOME IMPORTANT ANNUAL OUTPUTS FROM LAST SIMULATION YEAR
Cumulative sum of GPP [gC/m2/year]:                     536.5
Cumulative sum of NEE [gC/m2/year]:                     -88.4
Cumulative sum of ET   [kgH2O/m2/year]:                 413.4
Cumulative sum of SOILEVAP [kgH2O/m2/year):             300.9
Cumulative sum of TRANSP   [kgH2O/m2/year):             101.7
Cumulative sum of N2O flux [gN/m2/year]:                  0.46
Maximum projected LAI [m2/m2]:                           3.26
Soil carbon content (in 0-30 cm soil layer) [%]:         0.73
Total soil carbon content [kgC/m2/year]:                 3.60
Total litter and cwdc carbon content [kgC/m2/year]:      0.06
Averaged available soil ammonium content (0-30 cm) [ppm]: 0.54
Averaged available soil nitrate content (0-30 cm) [ppm]:  3.33
Averaged soil water content  [m3/m3]:                    0.16
10-base logarithm of the maximum carbon balance diff.:  -10.5
10-base logarithm of the maximum nitrogen balance diff.: -11.7
10-base logarithm of the maximum water balance diff.:   -11.9
10-base logarithm of the C-N calc. numbering error:     -13.7

WARNINGS
Limited transpiration due to dry soil
Limited evaporation due to dry soil
Limited genetically programmed leaf senescence
Not enough grass for grazing

SIMULATION STATUS [0 - failure; 1 - success]
1
```

69

Abbreviations:
SWC: soil water content
PSI: soil water potential
SGS, EGS: start and end of growing season
GSI: growing season index (Hidy et al., 2012)
WPM: annual whole plant mortality
MSC: maximum stomatal conductance
GPP: gross primary production
NEE: net ecosystem exchange
ET: evapotranspiration
LAI: leaf are index
SOM: soil organic matter

References

Bond-Lamberty, B., Gower, S.T., Ahl, D.E., 2007. Improved simulation of poorly drained forests using Biome-BGC. Tree Physiology 27, 703-715.

Di Vittorio, A.V., Anderson, R.S., White J.D., Miller, N.L., Running, S.W., 2010. Development and optimization of an Agro-BGC ecosystem model for C4 perennial grasses. Ecological Modelling 221, 2038-2053. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.05.013

Farquhar, G.D., von Caemmerer, S., Berry, J.A., 1980. A bio-chemical model of photosynthetic CO_2 assimilation in leaves of C_3 species. Planta 149, 78-90.

Franks, P.J., Adams, M.A., Amthor, J.S., Barbour, M.M., Berry, J.A., Ellsworth, D.S., Farquhar, G.D., Ghannoum, O., Lloyd, J., McDowell, N., Norby, R.J., Tissue, D.T. and von Caemmerer, S., 2013. Sensitivity of plants to changing atmospheric CO_2 concentration: from the geological past to the next century. New Phytologist 197, 1077-1094. doi:10.1111/nph.12104

Hashimoto, S., Morishita, T., Sakata, T., Ishizuka, S., Kaneko, S., Takahashi, M., 2011. Simple models for soil CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O fluxes calibrated using a Bayesian approach and multi-site data. Ecological Modelling 222, 1283–1292. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.01.013

Hidy, D., Barcza, Z., Haszpra, L., Churkina, G., Pintér, K., Nagy, Z., 2012. Development of the Biome-BGC model for simulation of managed herbaceous ecosystems. Ecological Modelling 226, 99-119. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.11.008

Hidy, D., Barcza, Z., Marjanović, H., Ostrogović Sever, M. Z., Dobor, L., Gelybó, G., Fodor, N., Pintér, K., Churkina, G., Running, S., Thornton, P., Bellocchi, G., Haszpra, L., Horváth, F., Suyker, A., Nagy, Z., 2016. Terrestrial Ecosystem Process Model Biome-BGCMuSo v4.0: Summary of improvements and new modeling possibilities. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 4405-4437. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-4405-2016

IEEE, 2008. Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic. *IEEE Std 754-2008*, august, 1–70. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEESTD.2008.4610935.

IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

Jarvis, N.J., 1989. A simple empirical model of root water uptake. Journal of Hydrology 107, 57–72.

Jiang, B., Yi Zhang, Shunlin Liang, Georg Wohlfahrt, Altaf Arain, Alessandro Cescatti, Teodoro Georgiadis, Kun Jia, Gerard Kiely, Magnus Lund, Leonardo Montagnani, Vincenzo Magliulo, Penelope Serrano Ortiz, Walter Oechel, Francesco Primo Vaccari, Yunjun Yao, Xiaotong Z., 2015. Empirical estimation of daytime net radiation from shortwave radiation and ancillary information, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 211–212, 23–36

Kattge, J., Knorr, W., 2007. Temperature acclimation in a biochemical model of photosynthesis: a reanalysis of data from 36 species. Plant Cell and Environment 30, 1176-1190.

Kattge, J., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, I.C., Leadley, P., Bönisch, G., Garnier, E., Westoby, M., Reich, P.B., Wright, I.J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Violle, C., Harrison, S.P., Van Bodegom, P.M., Reichstein, M., Enquist, B.J., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Ackerly, D.D., Anand, M., Atkin, O., Bahn, M., Baker, T.R., Baldocchi, D., Bekker, R., Blanco, C.C., Blonder, B., Bond, W.J., Bradstock, R., Bunker, D.E., Casanoves, F., Cavender-Bares, J., Chambers, J.Q., Chapin, F.S., Chave, J., Coomes, D., Cornwell, W.K., Craine, J.M., Dobrin, B.H., Duarte, L., Durka, W., Elser, J., Esser, G., Estiarte, M., Fagan, W.F., Fang, J., Fernández-Méndez, F., Fidelis, A., Finegan, B., Flores, O., Ford, H., Frank, D., Freschet, G.T., Fyllas, N.M., Gallagher, R. V., Green, W. A., Gutierrez, A. G., Hickler, T., Higgins, S.I., Hodgson, J.G., Jalili, A., Jansen, S., Joly, C. A., Kerkhoff, A. J., Kirkup, D., Kitajima, K., Kleyer, M., Klotz, S., Knops, J.M.H., Kramer, K., Kühn, I., Kurokawa, H., Laughlin, D., Lee, T.D., Leishman, M., Lens, F., Lenz, T., Lewis, S.L., Lloyd, J., Llusia, J., Louault, F., Ma, S., Mahecha, M.D., Manning, P., Massad, T., Medlyn, B.E., Messier, J., Moles, A. T., Müller, S.C., Nadrowski, K., Naeem, S., Niinemets, Ü., Nöllert, S., Nüske, A., Ogaya, R., Oleksyn, J., Onipchenko, V.G., Onoda, Y., Ordonez, J., Overbeck, G., Ozinga, W. A., Patino, S., Paula, S., Pausas, J.G., Penuelas, J., Phillips, O.L., Pillar, V., Poorter, H., Poorter, L., Poschlod, P., Prinzing, A., Proulx, R., Rammig, A., Reinsch, S., Reu, B., Sack, L., Salgado-Negret, B., Sardans, J., Shiodera, S., Shipley, B., Siefert, A., Sosinski, E., Soussana, J.F., Swaine, E., Swenson, N., Thompson, K., Thornton, P., Waldram, M., Weiher, E., White, M., White, S., Wright, S.J., Yguel, B., Zaehle, S., Zanne, A. E., Wirth, C., 2011. TRY - a global database of plant traits. Global Change Biology 17, 2905–2935. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x

Koven, C.D., Riley, W.J., Subin, Z.M., Tang, J.Y., Torn, M.S., Collins, W.D., Bonan, G.B., Lawrence, D.M., and Swenson, S.C., 2013. The effect of vertically resolved soil biogeochemistry and alternate soil C and N models on C dynamics of CLM4. Biogeosciences, 10, 7109-7131. doi:10.5194/bg-10-7109-2013

Ma, S., Churkina, G., Wieland, R., Gessler, A., 2011. Optimization and evaluation of the ANTHRO-BGC model for winter crops in Europe. Ecological Modelling 222, 3662-3679. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.08.025

Martínez-Vilalta, J., Sala, A., Asensio, D., Galiano, L., Hoch, G., Palacio, S., Piper, F.I. and Lloret, F., 2016. Dynamics of non-structural carbohydrates in terrestrial plants: a global synthesis. Ecological Monographs 86, 495-516. doi:10.1002/ecm.1231

Pietsch, S.A., Hasenauer, H., Kucera, J., Cermák, J., 2003. Modeling effects of hydrological changes on the carbon and nitrogen balance of oak in floodplains. Tree Physiology 23, 735–746.

Rogers, A., Medlyn, B.E. and Dukes, J.S., 2014. Improving representation of photosynthesis in Earth System Models. New Phytologist 204, 12–14. doi: 10.1111/nph.12972

Running, S.W., Coughlan, J.C., 1988. A general model of forest ecosystem processes for regional applications I. Hydrological balance, canopy gas exchange and primary production processes. Ecological Modelling 42, 125-154.

Running, S.W., Gower, S.T., 1991. A general model of forest ecosystem processes for regional applications II. Dynamic carbon allocation and nitrogen budgets. Tree Physiology 9, 147-160.

Running, S.W., Hunt, E.R.J., 1993. Generalization of a forest ecosystem process model for other biomes, BIOME-BGC, and an application for global-scale models. In: Scaling Physiological Processes: Leaf to Globe (ed.: Ehleringer, J. R., Field, C.B.). San Diego, Academic Press, 141-158.

Sándor, R., Fodor, N., 2012. Simulation of Soil Temperature Dynamics with Models Using Different Concepts. The Scientific World Journal, vol. 2012, 1-8. doi:10.1100/2012/590287

Smith, N.G., Dukes, J.S., 2013. Plant respiration and photosynthesis in global-scale models: Incorporating acclimation to temperature and CO_2. Global Change Biology 19, 45–63. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02797.x

Thornton, P.E., 1998. Regional ecosystem simulation: combining surface- and satellite-based observations to study linkages between terrestrial energy and mass budgets. Ph.D. dissertation. School of Forestry, University of Montana, Missoula MT, 280 pp.

Thornton, P.E., 2000. User's Guide for Biome-BGC, Version 4.1.1. Available online at ftp://daac.ornl.gov/data/model_archive/BIOME_BGC/biome_bgc_4.1.1/comp/bgc_users_gui de_411.pdf.

Thornton, P.E., Running, S.W., 2002. User's Guide for Biome-BGC, Version 4.1.2. Available online at http://www.ntsg.umt.edu/sites/ntsg.umt.edu/files/project/biome-bgc/bgc_users_guide_412.PDF

Thornton, P.E., Law, B.E., Gholz, H.L., Clark, K.L., Falge, E., Ellsworth, D.S., Goldstein, A.H., Monson, R.K., Hollinger, D., Falk, M., Chen, J., Sparks, J.P., 2002. Modeling and measuring the effects of disturbance history and climate on carbon and water budgets in evergreen needleleaf forests. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 113, 185-222.

Thornton, P.E., Rosenbloom, N.A., 2005. Ecosystem model spin-up: Estimating steady state conditions in a coupled terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycle model. Ecological Modelling 189, 25–48. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.04.008

Tjoelker, M.G., Oleksyn, J., Reich, P.B., 2001. Modelling respiration of vegetation: evidence for a general temperature-dependent Q10. Global Change Biology 7, 223-230.

Trusilova, K., Trembath, J., Churkina, G., 2009. Parameter estimation and validation of the terrestrial ecosystem model Biome-BGC using eddy-covariance flux measurements. Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie, Technical Reports 16, 1-60.

White, M.A., Thornton, P.E., Running, S.W., Nemani, R.R., 2000. Parameterization and sensitivity analysis of the Biome-BGC terrestrial ecosystem model: net primary production controls. Earth Interactions 4, 1-85.

Wullschleger, S.D., 1993. Biochemical limitations to carbon assimilation in C3 plants - a retrospective analysis of the A/Ci curves from 109 species. Journal of Experimental Botany 44, 907–920.

Zheng, D., Raymond, H., Running, S.W., 1993. A daily soil temperature model based on air temperature and precipitation for continental applications. Climate Research 2, 183-191.